arXiv:1405.2084v2 [math.SG] 13 Nov 2014

PERIODIC SYMPLECTIC COHOMOLOGIES

JINGYU ZHAO

Abstract. Goodwillie [16] introduced a periodic cyclic homology group associated to a mixed complex. In this paper, we apply this construction to the symplectic cochain complex of a Liouville domain (M, θ) and obtain two periodic symplectic cohomology theories, denoted as $\widehat{PSH}^*(M)$ and $PSH^*(M)$. Our main result is that both cohomology theories are invariant under Liouville isomorphism and that there is a natural isomorphism $H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u)) \xrightarrow{\sim} PSH^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Cyclic homology of S^1-Complexes 3
3. Symplectic Cohomology 5
4. Finitely Supported Periodic Symplectic Cohomology $PSH^*(M)$ 9
5. A Convenient Complex for Computing $PSH^*(M)$ 12
6. Localization Theorem $H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u)) \xrightarrow{\sim} PSH^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$ 17
7. Periodic Symplectic Cohomology $\widehat{PSH}^*(M)$ 25
8. Computations of $PSH^*(M)$ and $\widehat{PSH}^*(M)$ when M is the disc D^2 and the annulus A 29
References 32

1. Introduction

Symplectic homology of a symplectic manifold with boundary was introduced by Cieliebak–Floer–Hofer–Wysocki in a sequence of papers [10, 11, 14, 15]. There are several versions of the theory defined for slightly different notions of symplectic manifolds with boundary. In this paper, we will consider a specific class, called Liouville domains, which are exact symplectic manifolds with contact type boundary. The completion $\widehat{M}$ of a Liouville domain $(M, \omega = d\theta)$ is defined to be $(M \cup_{\partial M} [1, \infty) \times \partial M, d(R\alpha))$ with $\alpha = \theta|_{\partial M}$. Symplectic homology theory can be viewed as an infinite-dimensional Morse theory, or Floer theory, for an action functional $A\colon \mathcal{L}\widehat{M} \to \mathbb{R}$. There is a natural S^1-action on the free loop space $\mathcal{L}\widehat{M}$ by reparametrizations which makes $\mathcal{L}\widehat{M}$ into a topological S^1-space. This suggests that one can define S^1-equivariant symplectic homology $SH_*^{S^1}(M)$ using the Borel construction of $X := \mathcal{L}\widehat{M}$. There are two equivalent definitions of $SH_*^{S^1}(M)$. In [28, Section 5], Morse theory on X_{Borel} is seen as Morse theory on $X \times ES^1$ modulo a free S^1-action, that is, Morse theory for an S^1-invariant action functional on $X \times ES^1$. Whereas in [26], using the fact that X_{Borel} is a locally trivial fibration over $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$, Morse theory on X_{Borel} can be viewed as the family Morse homology associated to a family of Morse functions parametrized by $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ in the sense of [17]. Both of these formulations are made explicit in the work of Bourgeois and Oancea in [7].

If one takes the Liouville domain M to be the unit cotangent bundle of some closed smooth manifold Q, then the Liouville completion $\widehat{M}$ is T^*Q. Under the assumption that Q is Spin, the work of [28, 1, 2] shows that Viterbo's isomorphism gives rise to an isomorphism of BV algebras

$$SH^{n-*}(T^*Q, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbb{Z}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $H_*(\mathcal{L}Q, \mathbb{Z})$ is the homology of the free loop space equipped with the Chas-Sullivan product [9] and the BV operator. There is an S^1-action on $\mathcal{L}Q$ which is homotopy equivalent to the S^1-space $\mathcal{L}T^*Q$. It is classical that given an S^1-space one can define three versions of S^1-equivariant homology theories, which are called ordinary, periodic, and negative S^1-equivariant homology, respectively. In the situation that the S^1-space is the free loop space $\mathcal{L}Q$, there is a model of S^1-equivariant homology of $\mathcal{L}Q$ given by the cyclic homology of the singular chains on the based loop space of Q. This is proved by Goodwillie in [16] also see [18, 21].

Motivated by Viterbo's isomorphism (1.1) and the definition of the periodic cyclic homology of the chains on the based loop space, we apply periodic cyclic homology to the symplectic cochain complex on the symplectic side. The key feature of the symplectic cochain complex shown in [7] is that it is an S^1-complex, or an ∞-mixed complex which was introduced in [20]. This means that it satisfies the definition of a mixed complex up to higher homotopies. We call the periodic cyclic homology of the symplectic cochain complex *the periodic symplectic cohomology* and denote it by $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$.

There is another version of periodic symplectic cohomology that one can associate to a Liouville domain M. This version is inspired by the work of Jones and Petrack in [19] on completed periodic equivariant cohomology of the free loop space. It is proved by Goodwillie in [16] that the usual periodic equivariant cohomology does not satisfy localization when the S^1-space is infinite-dimensional as in the case of the free loop space. To deal with this problem, Jones and Petrack defined a variant of the usual periodic equivariant cohomology, called the completed periodic equivariant cohomology, which satisfies localization but not the weak homotopy invariance property in [19, Sections 1, 2]. Using this idea together with the natural action filtration on the symplectic cochain complex, we define another cohomology theory $PSH^*(M)$, called *the finitely supported periodic sympletic cohomology*, of the Liouville domain M. Our main result can be now viewed as a symplectic analogue of the localization theorem for the completed periodic equivariant cohomology of Jones and Petrack.

Theorem 1.1. *Given a Liouville domain (M, θ), the natural inclusion of the fixed point set $\iota\colon \widehat{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}\widehat{M}$ induces a map $\iota_*\colon H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u)) \to PSH^*(M)$ which is an isomorphism with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.*

Remark 1.2. Albers, Cieliebak and Frauenfelder in [5] have independently discovered a similar construction in the context of Rabinowitz Floer homology.

We end the introduction with an outline of this paper. Section 2 contains a discussion of the algebraic formalism of S^1-complexes following [7] and the definition of the periodic cyclic homology of an S^1-complex. In section 3, symplectic cohomology $SH^*(M)$ of a Liouville domain M is defined to be the direct limit of Floer cohomology groups of admissible Hamiltonians that are linear on $[R_0, \infty) \times \partial M$ for $R_0 \gg 1$. In section 4, we introduce the finitely supported periodic symplectic cohomology $PSH^*(M)$. The Floer cochain group $CF^*(M, H_t)$ of an admissible Hamiltonian H_t can be equipped with an S^1-structure $\{\delta_i\}_{i\geq 0}$, where $\delta_0 = d$ is the usual Floer differential and $\delta_1 = \Delta$ is the BV operator. This implies that

$$PSC^*(M, H_t) := CF^*(M, H_t)((u)), \quad \delta^{S^1} = \delta_0 + u\delta_1 + u^2\delta_2 + \dots \tag{1.2}$$

is a cochain complex. Let $PSH^*(M, H_t)$ denote the homology of this cochain complex. Then $PSH^*(M)$ is defined to be $\varinjlim PSH^*(M, H_t)$, where the direct limit is taken over all admissible Hamiltonians H_t with respect to appropriate continuation maps. By definition $PSH^*(M)$ is invariant under Liouville isomorphism. In practice, to compute $PSH^*(M)$ one can use a specific class of admissible Hamiltonians, described in section 5, which are autonomous away from its 1-periodic orbits, grow quadratically on $[1, R_0 - \epsilon) \times \partial M$ and linearly of slope τ on $[R_0, \infty) \times \partial M$ for some constant R_0 and small ϵ. Such autonomous Hamiltonians H^τ are convenient to work with, since nonconstant 1-periodic orbits of H^τ are in bijection with Reeb orbits of period less than τ on the contact manifold ∂M. Furthermore, if we define the Floer cochain complex using a carefully chosen perturbation $H^\tau_{\epsilon_\tau}$ of the autonomous Hamiltonian H^τ, then there is in fact a filtered S^1- structure on $CF^*(M, H^\tau_{\epsilon_\tau})$. One can then deduce the main theorem by computing the local Floer (co)homology contributions to the equivariant differential $\delta^{S^1} = d + u\Delta$

between the 1-periodic orbits $\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\check{\gamma}$ of $H^{\tau}_{\epsilon_\tau}$ associated to a Reeb orbit γ of multiplicity k on ∂M. A detailed computation in Proposition 6.2 shows that $d(\check{\gamma}) = \pm 2\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\Delta(\widehat{\gamma}) = 0$ if γ corresponds to a bad Reeb orbit, and $d(\check{\gamma}) = 0$ and $\Delta(\widehat{\gamma}) = \pm k\widehat{\gamma}$ if γ corresponds to a good Reeb orbit. This implies that the associated spectral sequence of the filtration on $PSC^*(M, H^{\tau}_{\epsilon_\tau})$ degenerates at E_1 page over $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients and converges to $H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u))$ for each Hamiltonian $H^{\tau}_{\epsilon_\tau}$ of slope τ. After taking direct limit, one obtains the result of Theorem 1.1. In section 7, we define the periodic symplectic cohomology $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ using the telescope construction introduced in [3, Section 3g] and prove that $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ is an invariant of $\widehat{M}$ up to Liouville isomorphism. Finally, we include explicit computations of $PSH^*(M)$ and $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ when M is the unit disk D^2 and the annulus A in $\mathbb{C}$ in section 8. The finitely supported periodic cohomology $PSH^*(D^2)$ of the unit disk D^2 is $\mathbb{Q}[u, u^{-1}]$, which agrees with the main result. Whereas the periodic symplectic cohomology $\widehat{PSH^*}(D^2)$ vanishes. This shows that $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ does not satisfy localization as in the case of the usual periodic equivariant cohomology of the free loop space.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my advisor Mohammed Abouzaid for his patient guidance and numerous comments, and Mark Mclean for pointing out the work [11], which is crucial to the proof of the main theorem.

2. Cyclic homology of S^1-Complexes

Given a cochain complex (C^*, δ_0), there are notions of an S^1-structure on C^* and three cyclic homology theories of such an S^1-complex C^*. The exposition in this section is based on [18, Section 2] and [7, Section 2.4] while using a cohomological convention.

Definition 2.1. Let (C^*, δ_0) be a cochain complex over a ring $\mathbb{K}$ such that C^i is a free $\mathbb{K}$-module for all i. An S^1-*structure* on (C^*, δ_0) is given by a sequence of maps $\delta := (\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots)$ with $\delta_i \colon C^* \to C^{*+1-2i}$ such that the relation

$$\sum_{i+j=k} \delta_i \delta_j = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

is satisfied for every $k \geq 0$. Such pair (C^*, δ) is called an (algebraic) S^1-complex.

Remark 2.2. A mixed complex (C^*, b, B) is a cochain complex C^* equipped with maps

$$b \colon C^* \to C^{*+1}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$B \colon C^* \to C^{*-1} \tag{2.3}$$

that satisfy the relations

$$b^2 = 0, \quad B^2 = 0 \text{ and } Bb = -bB. \tag{2.4}$$

Cyclic homology of a mixed complex is studied in [22, 20]. It is clear from above definitions that a mixed complex is an S^1-complex with

$$\delta_0 = b, \quad \delta_1 = B \text{ and } \delta_i = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 2. \tag{2.5}$$

One should view an S^1-complex as an ∞-mixed complex, which means that an S^1-complex (C^*, δ) satisfies (2.4) up to higher order homotopies.

There are also notions of chain maps and chain homotopies defined for S^1-complexes.

Definition 2.3. Let (C^*, δ) and (D^*, ∂) be S^1-complexes. An S^1-equivariant chain map is a sequence of maps $\kappa := (\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \cdots)$ with $\kappa_i \colon C^* \to D^{*-2i}$ such that the relation

$$\sum_{i+j=k} \kappa_i \delta_j - \partial_j \kappa_i = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

is satisfied for every $k \geq 0$. Similarly, given two S^1-equivariant maps κ, κ', an S^1-equivariant chain homotopy is a sequence of maps $h := (h_0, h_1, \cdots)$ with $h_i \colon C^* \to D^{*-2i-1}$ such that the relation

$$\kappa_k - \kappa'_k = \sum_{i+j=k} h_i \delta_j + \partial_j h_i \tag{2.7}$$

is satisfied for all $k \geq 0$.

To an S^1-complex (C^*, δ), one can associate three cyclic homology theories.

Let u be a formal variable of degree 2. We define the following chain complexes

$$C^- := C^*[[u]]; \tag{2.8}$$

$$C^\wedge := u^{-1}(C^-) \cong C^*((u)); \tag{2.9}$$

$$C^+ := C^\wedge / uC^-, \tag{2.10}$$

where $C^*[[u]]$ is the completed tensor product $C^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[u]$. This means that arbitrary formal power series with coefficients in C^* are allowed. The S^1-structure on C^* gives rise to a differential on C^- given by

$$\delta^{S^1} = \delta_0 + u\delta_1 + u^2\delta_2 + \cdots, \tag{2.11}$$

and the relation (2.1) ensures that $(\delta^{S^1})^2 = 0$. We also denote the differentials induced in the localization $C^\wedge$ and the quotient C^- by δ^{S^1}. Then the cyclic homology of the S^1-complex (C^*, δ), denoted as $HC_*(C^*)$, is defined to be $H_*(C^+, \delta^{S^1})$. The periodic cyclic homology $H\widehat{C}_*(C^*)$ of C^* is given by $H_*(C^\wedge, \delta^{S^1})$, and the negative cyclic homology $HC^-_*(C^*)$ of C^* is defined to be $H_*(C^-, \delta^{S^1})$. All three cyclic homology theories satisfy the following invariance property.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\kappa = (\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \ldots)$ be a chain map between S^1-complexes (C^*, δ) and (D^*, ∂). If $\kappa_0 \colon (C^*, \delta_0) \to (D^*, \partial_0)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then κ induces isomorphisms*

$$HC_*(C^*) \cong HC_*(D^*), \tag{2.12}$$

$$H\widehat{C}_*(C^*) \cong H\widehat{C}_*(D^*), \tag{2.13}$$

$$HC^-_*(C^*) \cong HC^-_*(D^*). \tag{2.14}$$

The proof of this Proposition is similar to [18, Lemma 2.1] in the case of mixed complexes.

Proof. The isomorphism $HC_*(C^*) \cong HC_*(D^*)$ follows from applying the Comparison Theorem in [30, 5.2.12] to the E_1-pages of the spectral sequences associated to the bounded below u-adic filtrations on C^+ and D^+. Then it suffices to show that $HC^-_*(C^*) \cong HC^-_*(D^*)$, as $H\widehat{C}_*(C^*) \cong H\widehat{C}_*(D^*)$ follows from the fact that $H\widehat{C}_*(C^*) \cong u^{-1}HC^-_*(C^*)$. For fixed $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \geq n$, we consider the quotient complex

$$C\langle m, n\rangle := u^n C^- / u^m C^-. \tag{2.15}$$

There is a finite filtration on $C\langle m, n\rangle$ given by

$$F^p C\langle m, n\rangle := \{\sum_{i \geq p}^{m-1} a_i u^i \mid a_i \in C^*, p \geq n\}. \tag{2.16}$$

The filtration $F^p D\langle m, n\rangle$ on $D\langle m, n\rangle$ is defined similarly. The E_1-pages of the associated spectral sequences are given by

$$E_1^{p,q} = H_{q-p}(C^*, \delta_0) \text{ and } E_1'^{p,q} = H_{q-p}(D^*, \partial_0) \text{ if } n \leq p \leq m-1, \tag{2.17}$$

and $E_1^{p,q} = E_1'^{p,q} = 0$ otherwise. Since κ_0 induces a quasi-isomorphism between $E_1^{p,q}$ and $E_1'^{p,q}$ for all p, q. By Comparison Theorem we obtain an isomorphism

$$f_* \colon H_*(C\langle m, n\rangle, \delta^{S^1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(D\langle m, n\rangle, \partial^{S^1}) \text{ for any } m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } m \geq n. \tag{2.18}$$

By construction we have that

$$u^n C^- = \varprojlim C\langle m, n\rangle, \tag{2.19}$$

where the inverse limit is taken as $m \to \infty$. Again, the same statement holds for D^-. By the $\lim^1$ exact sequence, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \varprojlim{}^1 H_{q+1}(C\langle m,n\rangle, \delta^{S^1}) & \to & H_q(u^n C^-, \delta^{S^1}) & \to & \varprojlim H_q(C\langle m,n\rangle, \delta^{S^1}) & \to & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* & & \\ 0 & \to & \varprojlim{}^1 H_{q+1}(D\langle m,n\rangle, \partial^{S^1}) & \to & H_q(u^n D^-, \partial^{S^1}) & \to & \varprojlim H_q(D\langle m,n\rangle, \partial^{S^1}) & \to & 0 \end{array}$$

This implies that $H_*(u^n C^-, \delta^{S^1}) \cong H_*(u^n D^-, \partial^{S^1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, when $n = 0$ we have that $H_*(C^-, \delta^{S^1}) \cong H_*(D^-, \partial^{S^1})$, that is $HC_*^-(C^*) \cong HC_*^-(D^*)$. □

If C^* is acyclic, then the proof of Proposition 2.4 implies the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 2.5. *If $H_*(C^*, \delta_0) = 0$, then $HC_*(C^*) = H\widehat{C}_*(C^*) = HC_*^-(C^*) = 0$.*

3. Symplectic Cohomology

In this section, we define symplectic cohomology of the class of exact symplectic manifolds with boundary that will be studied.

Definition 3.1. A Liouville domain is a compact manifold with boundary $(M, \partial M)$, together with a 1-form θ such that:
(1) $\omega = d\theta$ is a symplectic form on M;
(2) The Liouville vector field Z defined by $i_Z\omega = \theta$ points strictly outwards along ∂M.

This definition implies that $\alpha := \theta|_{\partial M}$ is a contact form on ∂M. Therefore a Liouville domain is also called an exact symplectic manifold with contact type boundary.

Given such a Liouville domain (M, θ), the flow of the Liouville vector field ϕ_Z^r for $r \in (-\infty, 0]$ gives rise to a canonical collar neighbourhood of ∂M

$$\Psi\colon (-\infty, 0] \times \partial M \to M, (r, y) \mapsto \phi_Z^r(y). \tag{3.1}$$

It follows that $\Psi^*\theta = e^r\alpha$ and $\Psi^* Z = \partial_r$. One can then define the completion of the Liouville domain (M, θ) to be

$$\widehat{M} = M \cup_{\partial M} ([0, \infty) \times \partial M), \text{ with } \widehat{\theta}|_{[0,\infty)\times\partial M} = e^r\alpha,\ \widehat{Z}|_{[0,\infty)\times\partial M} = \partial_r,\ \ \widehat{\omega} = d\widehat{\theta}. \tag{3.2}$$

We set $R := e^r$ from now on, The completion of (M, θ) in this coordinate is given by

$$\widehat{M} = M \cup_{\partial M} ([1, \infty) \times \partial M), \text{ with } \widehat{\theta}|_{[1,\infty)\times\partial M} = R\alpha,\ \widehat{Z}|_{[1,\infty)\times\partial M} = R\partial_R,\ \ \widehat{\omega} = d\widehat{\theta}. \tag{3.3}$$

Definition 3.2. Two Liouville domains M_0 and M_1 are Liouville isomorphic if there is a diffeomorphism $\phi\colon \widehat{M_0} \to \widehat{M_1}$ satisfying $\phi^*\widehat{\theta}_1 = \widehat{\theta}_0 + df$, where f is a compactly supported function on $\widehat{M_0}$.

We will be interested in studying the Liouville isomorphism type of the completion $\widehat{M}$ of a Liouville domain (M, θ).

3.1. Floer cohomology of admissible Hamiltonians.

Let $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\omega} = d(R\alpha))$ be the completion of (M, θ) in (3.3). We know that $(\partial M, \alpha)$ is a contact manifold. The Reeb vector field $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ of α is defined by

$$i_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha} d\alpha \equiv 0 \text{ and } \alpha(\mathcal{R}_\alpha) = 1. \tag{3.4}$$

A Reeb orbit $x\colon \mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z} \to \partial M$ is non-degenerate if the linearized return map $d\psi^l_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}\colon \xi_{x(0)} \to \xi_{x(0)}$ has no eigenvalues equal to 1. For a generic choice of the contact form α on ∂M, all Reeb orbits of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ are non-degenerate and their periods form a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^+$, called the action spectrum,

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{A}(\gamma) = \int_x \alpha \mid x \text{ is a Reeb orbit of } \mathcal{R}_\alpha\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Given $\tau \notin \mathcal{S}$, a time-dependent Hamiltonian $H_t\colon \widehat{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is admissible if it satisfies

$$H_t(r, y) = \tau R + C \text{ for } R \gg 1, \tag{3.6}$$

where τ is called the slope of H_t. We denoted by $\mathcal{H}$ the space of admissible Hamiltonians on $\widehat{M}$ such that all 1-periodic orbits are non-degenerate. There is a preorder on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$H_t \preceq K_t \text{ if the slope of } H_t \text{ is less than or equal to the slope of } K_t. \tag{3.7}$$

Given a Hamiltonian $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$, the action functional $\mathcal{A}_{H_t}\colon \mathcal{L}\widehat{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{H_t}(x) = -\int_{S^1} x^*\widehat{\theta} + \int_{S^1} H_t(x(t))dt. \tag{3.8}$$

The Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_t} of H_t is defined by $i_{X_{H_t}} = -dH_t$. Critical points of $\mathcal{A}_{H_t}$ are precisely the non-degenerate 1-periodic orbits of X_{H_t}, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(H_t)$. We consider the space of time-dependent $\widehat{\omega}$-compatible almost complex structures $\mathcal{J}$ such that $J_t \in \mathcal{J}$ satisfies

$$d(R) \circ J_t = -\widehat{\theta} \text{ for } R \gg 1. \tag{3.9}$$

This implies that on $T([R_0, \infty) \times \partial M) \cong (\mathbb{R}Z \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathcal{R}_\alpha) \oplus \xi$ with $R_0 \gg 1$, J_t is standard on $\mathbb{R}Z \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ and allowed to be any $d\alpha$-compatible almost complex structure on $\xi = \ker(\alpha)$. If $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$ and $J_t \in \mathcal{J}$, then we will call (H_t, J_t) an admissible pair. Given an admissible pair (H_t, J_t), we let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1, H_t, J_t)$ be the moduli space of solutions to the Floer equation

$$\partial_s u + J_t(u)(\partial_t u - X_{H_t}(u)) = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

with $E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} ||\partial_s u||^2 ds dt < \infty$. The finite energy condition is equivalent to having asymptotic conditions

$$\lim_{s\to-\infty} u(s, t) = x_0(t), \lim_{s\to+\infty} u(s, t) = x_1(t), \tag{3.11}$$

where x_0, x_1 are 1-periodic orbits of X_{H_t} such that $E(u) = \mathcal{A}_{H_t}(x_0) - \mathcal{A}_{H_t}(x_1)$. It can be shown that for fixed $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$ and a generic choice of $J_t \in \mathcal{J}$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1) := \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1, H_t, J_t)/\mathbb{R}$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $|x_0| - |x_1| - 1$, where $|x| = n - \mu_{CZ}(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{P}(H_t)$, and $\mu_{CZ}(x)$ denotes the Conley–Zehnder index of non-degenerate 1-periodic orbit x.

Due to the openness of $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\omega})$, the usual Gromov-compactness argument fails for arbitrary Hamiltonians and $\widehat{\omega}$-compatible almost complex structures on $\widehat{M}$. However for an admissible pair (H_t, J_t), Floer trajectory $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1, H_t, J_t)$ obeys a maximal principle, shown in [24, Lemma 1.4], which implies that images of any sequence of J_t-holomorphic maps lie in $M \cup_{\partial M} [1, R_0]$ for some $R_0 \gg 1$. Then one can define the Floer cochain complex of the admissible Hamiltonian H_t by

$$CF^i(M, H_t) := \bigoplus_{x\in\mathcal{P}(H_t), |x|=i} \mathbb{Z}\langle o_x\rangle, \tag{3.12}$$

where o_x and the differential $d\colon CF^*(M, H_t) \to CF^{*+1}(M, H_t)$ are defined in the following section.

Remark 3.3. We have suppressed the dependence of $CF^*(M, H_t)$ on the almost complex structure J_t as the homology of $(CF^*(M, H_t), d)$ is independent of the choice of $J_t \in \mathcal{J}$.

3.2. **Orientations.** In order to define the periodic symplectic cohomologies, we need to define the Floer cochain complex with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. This can be achieved by relatively orientating the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1)$ for all x_0 and x_1 in $\mathcal{P}(H_t)$ as follows.

Given a path $\Psi(t)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ such that $\Psi(0) = \mathbb{I}$ and $\det(\mathbb{I} - \Psi(1)) \neq 0$, one can reparametrize $\Psi(t)$ and associate to it a loop of symmetric matrices $S(t)$ that satisfies

$$\dot{\Psi}(t) = J_0 S(t) \cdot \Psi(t). \tag{3.13}$$

Such a loop $S(t)$ will be called non-degenerate if $\det(\mathbb{I} - \Psi(1)) \neq 0$. For non-degenerate $S(t)$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_+(S)$ and $\mathcal{O}_-(S)$ the spaces of all operators of the form

$$D_\Psi \colon W^{1,p}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to L^p(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}^{2n}), \quad p > 2 \tag{3.14}$$

$$D_\Psi(X) = \partial_s X + J_0 \partial_t X + S \cdot X, \tag{3.15}$$

where $S \in C^0(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{gl}(2n))$ satisfies

$$S(e^{s+2\pi i t}) = S(t) \text{ for } s \gg 0, \text{ if } D_\Psi \in \mathcal{O}_+(S), \tag{3.16}$$

$$S(e^{-s-2\pi i t}) = S(t) \text{ for } s \ll 0, \text{ if } D_\Psi \in \mathcal{O}_-(S). \tag{3.17}$$

Similarly, for loops of non-degenerate symmetric matrices $S_-(t)$ and $S_+(t)$ corresponding to paths $\Psi_-(t)$ and $\Psi_+(t)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, we define $\mathcal{O}(S_-, S_+)$ to be the space of all operators

$$D \colon W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to L^p(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n}), \quad p > 2 \tag{3.18}$$

$$D(X) \colon = \partial_s X + J_0 \partial_t X + S \cdot X, \tag{3.19}$$

with $S \in C^0(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, \mathfrak{gl}(2n))$ satisfying $S(s,t) = S_-(t)$ for $s \ll 0$ and $S(s,t) = S_+(t)$ for $s \gg 0$.

It can be shown that $\mathcal{O}_+(S)$, $\mathcal{O}_-(S)$ and $\mathcal{O}(S_-, S_+)$ consist of Fredholm operators. One can define line bundles $\mathrm{Det}(\mathcal{O}_\pm(S))$, $\mathrm{Det}(\mathcal{O}(S_-, S_+))$ over $\mathcal{O}_\pm(S)$ and $\mathcal{O}(S_-, S_+)$ by declaring the fibre over D in $\mathcal{O}_\pm(S)$ or $\mathcal{O}(S_-, S_+)$ to be the determinant line bundle $\det(D)$ of the Fredholm operator D. If we fix the asymptotic data $S(t)$ and $S_\pm(t)$, the spaces $\mathcal{O}_\pm(S)$ and $\mathcal{O}(S_-, S_+)$ are contractible. This implies that line bundles $\mathrm{Det}(\mathcal{O}_\pm(S))$ and $\mathrm{Det}(\mathcal{O}(S_-, S_+))$ are trivial for fixed loops of symmetric matrices $S(t)$ and $S_\pm(t)$.

For non-degenerate asymptotic data $S_+(t)$, $S_-(t)$ and $S(t)$, we consider Fredholm operators K in $\mathcal{O}(S_-, S)$ resp. $\mathcal{O}_+(S)$ and L in $\mathcal{O}(S, S_+)$ resp. $\mathcal{O}_-(S)$. There is a gluing operation defined for Fredholm operators K and L, which is denoted as $K \#_\rho L$ in $\mathcal{O}(S_-, S_+)$ resp. $\mathcal{O}_\pm(S_\pm)$. Let $Z = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$. One first forms the glued Riemann surfaces $\mathbb{C}\#_\rho Z$, $Z\#_\rho Z$, and $Z\#_\rho \mathbb{C}$ under the identifications given by

$$[\rho, 2\rho] \times S^1 \subset [\rho, \infty) \times S^1 \to \{z \mid e^\rho \leq |z| \leq e^{2\rho}\} \subset \{z \mid |z| \leq e^{2\rho}\} \colon (s,t) \mapsto e^{s+2\pi i t}; \tag{3.20}$$

$$[-\rho, \rho] \times S^1 \subset (-\infty, \rho] \times S^1 \to [-\rho, \rho] \times S^1 \subset [-\rho, \infty) \times S^1 \colon (s,t) \mapsto (s,t); \tag{3.21}$$

$$[\rho, 2\rho] \times S^1 \subset (-\infty, 2\rho] \times S^1 \to \{z \mid e^\rho \leq |z| \leq e^{2\rho}\} \subset \{z \mid |z| \leq e^{2\rho}\} \colon (s,t) \mapsto e^{3\rho - s - 2\pi i t}. \tag{3.22}$$

For $\rho \gg 0$, the restrictions of the inhomogeneous terms of K and L to the glued regions coincide with the value of S, we then obtain the glued operators $K \#_\rho L$ defined on $\mathbb{C}\#_\rho Z$, $Z\#_\rho Z$ and $Z\#_\rho \mathbb{C}$ in each case. With respect to the gluing operation, it is shown in [13, Proposition 9] that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\det(K \#_\rho L) \cong \det(K) \otimes \det(L) \tag{3.23}$$

up to multiplication by a positive real number.

We now define the Floer differential on $CF^*(M, H_t)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let ψ_H^t be the Hamiltonian flow generated by the Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_t}. Each 1-periodic orbit yields a map $x \colon S^1 \to \widehat{M}$ such that $\psi_H^t(x(0)) = x(t)$. For any $J_t \in \mathcal{J}$, the complex vector bundle $(x^* T\widehat{M}, J_t)$ over S^1 can be trivialized. We choose a trivialization $\xi(t) \colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to T_{x(t)}\widehat{M}$ and obtain a path $\Psi_x(t)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ as the composition of

$$\mathbb{R}^{2n} \xrightarrow{\xi(0)} T_{x(0)}\widehat{M} \xrightarrow{d\psi_H^t} T_{x(t)}\widehat{M} \xrightarrow{\xi^{-1}(t)} \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \tag{3.24}$$

Given x_0 and x_1 in $\mathcal{P}(H_t)$, we denote by $S_0(t)$ and $S_1(t)$ the loops of symmetric matrices which generate $\Psi_{x_0}(t)$ and $\Psi_{x_1}(t)$, respectively. The construction in (3.15) yields operators $D_{\Psi_{x_0}}$ and $D_{\Psi_{x_1}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_-(S_0)$ and $\mathcal{O}_-(S_1)$ respectively. We introduce the notation $o_{x_i} := |\det(D_{\Psi_{x_i}})|$ for $i = 0, 1$, which denotes the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded abelian group generated by the two orientations of $\det(D_{\Psi_{x_i}})$ and modulo the relation that the sum vanishes. Similarly for $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1)$ we define $o_u := |\det(D_u)|$, where $D_u \in \mathcal{O}(S_0, S_1)$ is the linearization of the Floer equation at u with respect to a trivialization of $u^*(T\widehat{M}) \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ that agree with the trivializations of $x_i^*(T\widehat{M}) \to S^1$ as $s \to \pm\infty$. For different choices of trivializations, Lemma 13 in [13] and Proposition 1.4.10 in [2] show that the corresponding determinant line bundles $\det(D_{\Psi_{x_i}})$ and $\det(D_u)$ are isomorphic. This implies that o_{x_i} and o_u are well-defined for $i = 0, 1$. By the gluing property (3.23), we have a canonical isomorphism

$$o_u \otimes o_{x_1} \cong o_{x_0}. \tag{3.25}$$

Together with the fact that $o_u \cong |\mathbb{R}\partial_s| \otimes |\mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1)|$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$o_{x_1} \cong |\mathbb{R}\partial_s| \otimes |\mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1)| \otimes o_{x_0}, \tag{3.26}$$

where $\mathbb{R}\partial_s$ is the 1-dimensional subspace of $\ker(D_u)$ spanned by translation in positive s-direction. For $|x_1| = |x_0| + 1$, we have that $T\mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1)$ is canonically trivial as $\mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1)$ is a 0-dimensional manifold. By comparing the fixed orientations on both sides of (3.26), we obtain an isomorphism

$$d_u \colon o_{x_1} \to o_{x_0}. \tag{3.27}$$

The differential $d \colon CF^*(M, H_t) \to CF^{*+1}(M, H_t)$ is then defined to be

$$d|_{o_{x_1}} := \bigoplus_{|x_0|=|x_1|+1} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}(x_0,x_1)} d_u. \tag{3.28}$$

3.3. **Symplectic cohomology as a direct limit.** Given admissible pairs (H_t, J_t^+) and (K_t, J_t^-) with $H_t \preceq K_t$, one can choose a monotone homotopy (H_s, J_s) between (H_t, J_t^+) and (K_t, J_t^-). Precisely, this means that the family of Hamiltonians satisfy

$$H_s(r, y) = h_s(R) \text{ and } \frac{\partial h_s'}{\partial s} \leq 0 \text{ for } R \gg 1, \tag{3.29}$$

and the family of $\widehat{\omega}$-compatible almost complex structures J_s belongs to $\mathcal{J}$ for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$. It is shown in [26, Section 3c] that there is a well-defined continuation map

$$\kappa \colon HF^*(M, H_t) \to HF^*(M, K_t) \tag{3.30}$$

associated to such a monotone homotopy. Furthermore, the continuation map κ is independent of the choice of monotone homotopy. The symplectic cohomology of M is then defined by

$$SH^*(M) = \varinjlim_{H_t \text{ admissible}} HF^*(M, H_t), \tag{3.31}$$

which is the direct limit of all Floer cohomology groups of admissible Hamiltonians H_t with respect to the continuation map (3.30).

3.4. **The BV operator on $SH^*(M)$.** Given an admissible Hamiltonian $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$ of slope τ as in (3.6), we define a family of admissible Hamiltonians $H_{s,t}^\theta \colon \widehat{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ parametrized by $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ by

$$H_{s,t}^\theta = H_t \text{ if } s \gg 0, \quad H_{s,t}^\theta = H_{t+\theta} \text{ if } s \ll 0, \tag{3.32}$$

$$H_{s,t}^\theta(r, y) = \tau R + C \text{ for } R \gg 1. \tag{3.33}$$

We also choose a family of almost complex structures $J_{s,t}^\theta \in \mathcal{J}$ that agree with J_t if $s \gg 0$ and $J_{t+\theta}$ if $s \ll 0$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\Delta(x_0, x_1)$ consists of pairs (θ, u) such that $\theta \in S^1$ and $u \colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to \widehat{M}$

satisfies

$$\partial_s u + J^\theta_{s,t}(\partial_t u - X_{H^\theta_{s,t}}) = 0 \tag{3.34}$$

$$\lim_{s\to-\infty} u(s,t+\theta) = x_0(t+\theta), \quad \lim_{s\to+\infty} u(s,t) = x_1(t). \tag{3.35}$$

The pair (θ, u) is said to be regular if for generic choices of Floer data $H^\theta_{s,t}$ and $J^\theta_{s,t}$, the map $T_\theta S^1 \to \operatorname{coker}(D_u)$ is surjective. For such generic Floer data, the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_\Delta(x_0, x_1)$ is $|x_0| - |x_1| + 1$. Given $(\theta, u) \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(x_0, x_1)$, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to T_u\mathcal{M}_\Delta(x_0,x_1) \to T_\theta S^1 \oplus \ker(D_u) \to \operatorname{coker}(D_u) \to 0, \tag{3.36}$$

which induces an isomorphism of determinant lines

$$\det(T_u\mathcal{M}_\Delta(x_0,x_1)) \cong \det(D_u) \otimes \det(T_\theta S^1), \tag{3.37}$$

By the gluing described in (3.23), an orientation of $\det(D_u)$ is determined by the isomorphism

$$|\det(D_u)| \otimes o_{x_1} \cong o_{x_0}. \tag{3.38}$$

If one fixes the orientation of $T_\theta S^1$, then (3.37) yields an isomorphism

$$\Delta_u \colon o_{x_1} \to o_{x_0}. \tag{3.39}$$

Then we define the BV operator $\Delta \colon CF^*(M, H_t) \to CF^{*-1}(M, H_t)$ as

$$\Delta|_{o_{x_1}} = \bigoplus_{|x_0|=|x_1|-1} \sum_{(\theta,u)\in\mathcal{M}_\Delta(x_0,x_1)} \Delta_u. \tag{3.40}$$

It is shown in [2, Section 2.2] that this in fact defines an operation Δ on $SH^*(M) = \varinjlim HF^*(M, H_t)$.

4. Finitely Supported Periodic Symplectic Cohomology $PSH^*(M)$

4.1. **The equivariant differential δ^{S^1}.** The equivariant differential was defined explicitly in the context of S^1-equivariant symplectic homology $SH^{S^1}_*(M)$ by Bourgeois and Oancea. The following exposition of δ^{S^1} is based on their work in [7, Sections 2.2, 2.3] again using a cohomological convention. We consider a sequence of Morse-Smale pairs (f_N, g_N) on $\mathbb{C}P^N$ where f_N is the standard Morse function

$$f_N([z_0 : \cdots : z_N]) = \frac{C\sum_{j=0}^N (j+1)|z_j|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^N |z_j|^2} \quad \text{for some } C > 0, \tag{4.1}$$

and g_N is the metric induced by the round metric on S^{2N+1}. We denote the S^1-invariant lift of f_N to S^{2N+1} by $\tilde{f}_N$. Each critical point of f_N on $\mathbb{C}P^N$ gives rise to a critical S^1-orbit of $\tilde{f}$ on S^{2N+1}. Given an admissible Hamiltonian $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$ of slope τ on $\widehat{M}$, one can extend it to an S^1-invariant Hamiltonian H_N on $S^1 \times \widehat{M} \times S^{2N+1}$ for each N. Fix a transverse local slice U_{z_0} at z_0 for each critical orbit $S^1 \cdot z_0$ of $\tilde{f}_N$. For z in $V_{z_0} := S^1 \cdot U_{z_0}$, there is a unique element $\theta_z \in S^1$ such that $\theta_z^{-1} \cdot z$ belongs to U_{z_0}. On $S^1 \times \widehat{M} \times V_{z_0}$, we let $H'_N(t, x, z) = H_{t-\theta_z}(x)$. One can then smoothly extend H'_N to a Hamiltonian $H_N \colon S^1 \times \widehat{M} \times S^{2N+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ with constant slope at infinity by

$$H_N(t,x,z) = \beta(z)H'_N(t-\theta_z, x) + (1-\beta(z))\rho(x)H_t(x), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\beta(z)$ is a S^1-invariant cut-off function which is 1 near critical orbit $S^1 \cdot z_0$ and 0 outside V_{z_0} for all $z_0 \in \operatorname{Crit}(-f_N)$, and $\rho(x)$ is a cut-off function which is 1 on $[R_0, \infty) \times \partial M$ for $R_0 \gg 0$ and 0 in the region where H_t depends on time. The advantage of such H_N is that for large enough C in (4.1) the critical points of $\mathcal{A}_{H_N+\tilde{f}_N} \colon \mathcal{L}\widehat{M} \times S^{2N+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ are of the form $\sqcup_{j=1}^N S^1 \cdot (\mathcal{P}(H_t) \times \{Z_j\})$ with $Z_j \in \operatorname{Crit}(f_N)$ of index $2j$. Let $CF^*(M, H_t)$ be the Floer cochain complex of H_t. We have that the cochain complex associated to $\mathcal{A}_{H_N+\tilde{f}_N}$ has a particularly simple form

$$CF^*(H_N, f_N, J_N, g_N) \cong CF^*(M, H_t) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[u]/(u^{N+1}) \tag{4.3}$$

under the map $S^1 \cdot (\gamma, Z_j) \mapsto u^j \cdot \gamma$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H_t)$ and $Z_j \in \mathrm{Crit}(f_N)$. The index of $x = (\gamma, Z)$ in $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{H_N + \tilde{f}_N})$ is defined by

$$|x| = |\gamma| - \mathrm{Ind}_M(Z), \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathrm{Ind}_M(Z)$ is the standard Morse index of the critical point Z with respect to f_N.

We consider the set of S^1-invariant $\widehat{\omega}$-compatible almost complex structures on $\widehat{M}$ parametrized by S^{2N+1} which is denoted by

$$\mathcal{J}_N = \{J_t^z, t \in S^1, z \in S^{2N+1} \mid J_{t+\theta}^{\theta \cdot z} = J_t^z, J^z \in \mathcal{J}, \text{ for all } z \in S^{2N+1}\}. \tag{4.5}$$

For each N we choose $J_N \in \mathcal{J}_N$ such that J_N^z is independent of z along the local slice U_{z_0}, and an S^1-invariant metric g_N on S^{2N+1} such that $\nabla \tilde{f}_N$ is tangent to U_{z_0} for each $z_0 \in \mathrm{Crit}(f_N)$. The Floer data (H_N, J_N, f_N, g_N) chosen for $\widehat{M} \times S^{2N+1}$ and the Floer data $(H_{N+1}, J_{N+1}, f_{N+1}, g_{N+1})$ chosen for $\widehat{M} \times S^{2N+3}$ need to satisfy the periodicity conditions in [7, Section 2.3]. Let $H_N^z := H_N(\cdot, \cdot, z)$ be the Hamiltonian defined on $\widehat{M}$. For $x_0 = (\gamma_0, Z_0)$ and $x_1 = (\gamma_1, Z_i)$ in $\mathcal{P}(H_t) \times \mathrm{Crit}(f_N)$ with $\mathrm{Ind}_M(Z_0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_M(Z_i) = 2i$, we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1) := \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(x_0, x_1, H_N, f_N, J_N, g_N)$ the moduli space of solutions $u \colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to \widehat{M}, z \colon \mathbb{R} \to S^{2N+1}$ to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s u + J_N^{z(s)}(u)(\partial_t u - X_{H_N^{z(s)}}(u)) = 0, \\ \dot{z} + \nabla \tilde{f}(z) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

with asymptotic behaviors

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} (u(s, \cdot), z(s)) \in S^1 \cdot x_0, \ \lim_{s \to \infty} (u(s, \cdot), z(s)) \in S^1 \cdot x_1, \tag{4.7}$$

where $S^1 \cdot x_j$ is the S^1-orbit of x_j for $j = 0, 1$. There is a free $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$-action on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ given by reparametrizations in the domain $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. We denote the quotient space by $\mathcal{M}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)/\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. For a generic choice of data (H_N, J_N, f_N, g_N), it is shown in [7, Section 2.1] that the dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ is $|\gamma_0| - |\gamma_1| - 1 + 2i$. In particular, if $|\gamma_0| = |\gamma_1| + 1 - 2i$, then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ is zero dimensional. For each element u of $\mathcal{M}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ one obtains an isomorphism

$$\delta_{i,u} \colon o_{\gamma_1} \to o_{\gamma_0} \tag{4.8}$$

by relatively orienting the parametrized moduli space $\mathcal{M}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ as in the case of the BV operator. This yields an operation $\delta_i \colon CF^*(M, H_t) \to CF^{*+1-2i}(M, H_t)$ given by

$$\delta_i|_{o_{\gamma_1}} = \bigoplus_{|\gamma_0| = |\gamma_1| + 1 - 2i} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)} \delta_{i,u}. \tag{4.9}$$

The formula $\delta_N^{S^1}(\gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^N u^i \delta_i(\gamma)$ then defines a differential on $CF^*(M, H_t) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[u]/(u^{N+1}\mathbb{Z}[u])$. The periodicity conditions for (H_N, f_N, J_N, g_N) now imply that the differentials $\delta_N^{S^1}$ defined on $CF^*(M, H_t) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[u]/(u^{N+1}\mathbb{Z}[u])$ coincide as $N \to \infty$. Thus it gives rise to a well-defined S^1-equivariant differential on

$$CF^*(M, H_t)[[u]] \cong \varprojlim_N CF^*(M, H_t) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[u]/(u^{N+1}\mathbb{Z}[u]) \tag{4.10}$$

given by

$$\partial^{S^1} = \delta_0 + u\delta_1 + u^2\delta_2 + \cdots. \tag{4.11}$$

It is shown in [7, Section 2.2] that $(\delta^{S^1})^2 = 0$, which implies that $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots)$ gives rise to an S^1-structure on $CF^*(M, H_t)$. The operations δ_0 and δ_1 agree with the usual differential d and the BV operator Δ defined on symplectic cohomology $SH^*(M)$, respectively.

Given admissible pairs (H_t, J_t^+) and (K_t, J_t^-) with $H_t \preceq K_t$, there is a map of S^1-complexes between $CF^*(M, H_t)$ and $CF^*(M, K_t)$. We choose a monotone homotopy $(H_{s,t}, J_{s,t})$ between (H_t, J_t^+) and (K_t, J_t^-). Using the same extension formula as in (4.2), we obtain a family of Hamiltonians

$$H_{N,s,t} \colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \widehat{M} \times S^{2N+1} \to \mathbb{R} \tag{4.12}$$

such that $H^z_{N,s,t} := H_{N,s,t}(\cdot, z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ for all $z \in S^{2N+1}$. One can also choose $J_{N,s,t} \in \mathcal{J}_N$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $J^z_{N,s,t}$ is independent of z and agrees with the chosen homotopy of almost complex structures $J_{s,t} \in \mathcal{J}$ along each local slice U_{z_0}. Given $x_- = (\gamma_-, Z_0)$ and $x_+ = (\gamma_+, Z_j)$ with $\mathrm{Ind}_M(Z_0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_M(Z_j) = 2j$, we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\kappa_j(\gamma_-, \gamma_+) := \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\kappa_j(\gamma_-, \gamma_+, H_{N,s}, J_{N,s}, f_N, g_N)$ the moduli space of solutions $u\colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to \widehat{M}, z\colon \mathbb{R} \to S^{2N+1}$ to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s u + J^{z(s)}_{N,s,t}(u)(\partial_t u - X_{H^{z(s)}_{N,s,t}}(u)) = 0, \\ \dot{z} + \nabla \tilde{f}(z) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

with asymptotic behaviors

$$\lim_{s\to-\infty}(u(s,\cdot), z(s)) \in S^1 \cdot x_-, \quad \lim_{s\to\infty}(u(s,\cdot), z(s)) \in S^1 \cdot x_+. \tag{4.14}$$

We set $\mathcal{M}^\kappa_j(\gamma_-, \gamma_+) := \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\kappa_j(\gamma_-, \gamma_+)/S^1$. For generic choice of data $(H_{N,s}, J_{N,s})$, the dimension of $\mathcal{M}^\kappa_j(\gamma_-, \gamma_+)$ is given by $|\gamma_-| - |\gamma_+| + 2j$. For each $u \in \mathcal{M}^\kappa_j(\gamma_-, \gamma_+)$ with $|\gamma_-| = |\gamma_+| - 2j$, there is an isomorphism

$$\kappa_{j,u}\colon o_{\gamma_+} \to o_{\gamma_-}. \tag{4.15}$$

As $N \to \infty$, there are operations $\kappa_j\colon CF^*(M, H_t) \to CF^{*-2j}(M, K_t)$ defined for all $j \geq 0$ by

$$\kappa_j|_{o_{\gamma_+}} = \bigoplus_{|\gamma_-|=|\gamma_+|-2j} \sum_{u\in\mathcal{M}^\kappa_j(\gamma_-,\gamma_+)} \kappa_{j,u}. \tag{4.16}$$

By the definitions of δ_i and κ_j, one can verify that

$$\sum_{i+j=k} (\kappa_j\delta_i - \delta_i\kappa_j) = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Thus we obtain a chain map for admissible Hamiltonians $H_t \preceq K_t$ given by

$$\kappa\colon CF^*(M, H_t)[[u]] \to CF^*(M, K_t)[[u]], \tag{4.18}$$

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 + u\kappa_1 + u^2\kappa_2 + \cdots. \tag{4.19}$$

Remark 4.1. One can consult Lemma 7.2 for detailed definitions of the isomorphisms $\delta_{i,u}$ and $\kappa_{i,u}$.

4.2. **Finitely supported periodic symplectic cohomology.** For an admissible Hamiltonian H_t of slope $\tau \notin \mathcal{S}$, the periodic symplectic cochain complex of H_t is defined to be

$$PSC^*(M, H_t) := CF^*(M, H_t) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u)). \tag{4.20}$$

We denote by $PSH^*(M, H_t)$ the homology of the cochain complex $(PSC^*(M, H_t), \delta^{S^1})$.

For any $H_t \preceq K_t$, there is a chain map $\kappa\colon PSC^*(M, H_t) \to PSC^*(M, K_t)$ induced by (4.18) in the localization. The induced map in the homology

$$\kappa_*\colon PSH^*(M, H_t) \to PSH^*(M, K_t) \tag{4.21}$$

is well-defined and independent of the choice of the monotone homotopy between H_t and K_t. Then the *finitely supported periodic symplectic cohomology* is defined to be

$$PSH^*(M) := \varinjlim PSH^*(M, H_t), \tag{4.22}$$

where the direct limit is taken over the preordered set of all admissible Hamiltonians $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$ with respect to (4.21). By definition, finitely supported periodic symplectic cohomology $PSH^*(M)$ is an invariant of the completion $\widehat{M}$ up to Liouville isomorphism. We denote $PSH^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ by $PSH^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$. It will be shown that $PSH^*(M, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u))$ in section 6.

Remark 4.2. As $PSH^*(M)$ is defined to be a direct limit

$$\varinjlim PSH^*(M,H_t) \cong \bigoplus_{H_t \text{ admissible}} PSH^*(M,H_t)/\sim, \tag{4.23}$$

each element of homogeneous degree k in $PSH^*(M)$ is given by a *finite* sum

$$\sum_{i\geq 0} x_{k-2i}u^i, \tag{4.24}$$

where x_{k-2i} is a linear combination of cohomology classes given by $\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} a_j[\gamma_j]$ with $\gamma_j \in CF^{k-2i}(M,H_t^j)$ for some admissible Hamiltonian H_t^j. This finiteness property does not hold for the periodic symplectic cohomology $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ defined in section 7. Therefore we call $PSH^*(M)$ the *finitely supported periodic symplectic cohomology* of the Liouville domain M. This distinction between $PSH^*(M)$ and $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ is illustrated in the computations of $PSH^*(A)$ and $\widehat{PSH^*}(A)$ for the annulus A in section 8.

5. A Convenient Complex for Computing $PSH^*(M)$

In this section, we restrict ourselves to a special class of admissible Hamiltonians which are autonomous away from neighbourhoods of its 1-periodic orbits. Explicitly, for $\tau \notin \mathcal{S}$ we consider an autonomous Hamiltonian (shown in Figure 1) of the form

$$\widehat{H}^\tau(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathrm{Int}(M) \\ \frac{(R-1)^2}{2}, & \text{if } x=(r,y)\subset [1,\tau+1]\times\partial M; \\ \tau(R-1)-\frac{\tau^2}{2}, & \text{if } x=(r,y)\subset[\tau+1,\infty)\times\partial M, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $f(x)$ is a negative C^2-small Morse function in the interior of M. To obtain a C^∞ Hamiltonian, we define the value of $\widehat{H}^\tau$ on the collar neighbourhood $[1-\epsilon_0,1]\times\partial M$ to be $\rho(R)f(x)$, where $\rho(R)$ is a smooth cut-off function which equal to 1 at $\{1-\epsilon_0\}\times\partial M$ and zero at $\{1\}\times\partial M$ for ϵ_0 sufficiently small. One notices that $\widehat{H}^\tau$ is only C^0 at $R=\tau+1$, so we need to modify the value of $\widehat{H}^\tau$ on $[\tau+1,\tau+1+\epsilon_0]\times\partial M$ such that

$$\frac{d\widehat{H}^\tau(R)}{dR} = \begin{cases} R-1, & \text{if } R\in[1,\tau+1]; \\ g(R), & \text{if } R\in[\tau+1,\tau+1+\epsilon_0]; \\ \tau, & \text{if } R\in[\tau+1+\epsilon_0,\infty), \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

where the values of $g(R)$ agree with $R-1$ and τ to all orders at $R=\tau+1$ and $R=\tau+1+\epsilon_0$, respectively. On $[\tau+1,\tau+1+\epsilon_0]$, the function $g(R)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\tau+1}^{\tau+1+\epsilon_0} g(R) = \tau. \tag{5.3}$$

We denote the resulting C^∞ Hamiltonian by H^τ.

Since the Hamiltonian H^τ is autonomous on $[1,\infty)\times\partial M$, there is a non-trivial S^1-action on its 1-periodic orbits by $S^1\times\mathcal{P}(H^\tau)\to\mathcal{P}(H^\tau), (s,\gamma(t))\mapsto\gamma(t+s)$. We can break the S^1-symmetry by choosing small perturbations of the Hamiltonian H^τ in an isolated neighbourhood $N(\gamma)$ of each orbit γ. For fixed 1-periodic orbit γ of H^τ that corresponds to a Reeb orbit of multiplicity k, one considers a Morse function $h_0\colon S^1\to[-1/2,-1]$ that has one minimum value -1 at $0\in S^1$ and one maximum value $-1/2$ at c_0 for some small enough $c_0\in S^1$. We define $h_\gamma\colon\overline{N(\gamma)}\to[0,-1]$ by $h_\gamma(t,\gamma(s))=h_0(ks-kt)$ on $\gamma(S^1)$ and smoothly extend it to $N(\gamma)$ such that $h_\gamma|_{\partial\overline{N(\gamma)}}\equiv 0$. Then the time-dependent perturbation of H^τ is defined by

$$H_\epsilon^\tau(t) := H^\tau + \epsilon\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{P}(H^\tau)} h_\gamma(t) \text{ with } h_\gamma(t)=h_\gamma\circ\phi_{H^\tau}^{-t}, \tag{5.4}$$

for some small enough $\epsilon > 0$. One observes that the perturbed Hamiltonian $H^\tau_\epsilon(t)$ has two 1-periodic orbits in $N(\gamma)$ for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H^\tau)$. They are given by

$$\widehat{\gamma}(t) := \gamma(t), \quad \check{\gamma}(t) := \gamma(t + \frac{c_0}{k}). \tag{5.5}$$

Proposition 2.2 in [11] then implies that in fact $\widehat{\gamma}(t)$ and $\check{\gamma}(t)$ are the only 1-periodic orbits of $H^\tau_\epsilon(t)$ in $N(\gamma)$ with indices satisfying

$$|\widehat{\gamma}| = |\check{\gamma}| + 1. \tag{5.6}$$

In the subsequent discussions, the notation $CF^*(M, H^\tau_\epsilon)$ denotes the Floer cochain complex defined by the time-dependent perturbation $H^\tau_\epsilon(t)$ of the autonomous Hamiltonian H^τ.

5.1. **Relations with Reeb dynamics on ∂M.** Given a Liouville domain (M, θ), we recall that $(\partial M, \xi = \ker(\theta|_{\partial M}))$ is a contact manifold. Let $\psi^t_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}$ be the flow of the Reeb vector field. Reeb orbits of period l are smooth maps $x\colon \mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z} \to \partial M$ such that $\psi^t_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}(x(0)) = x(t)$. Such an orbit is simple if the map x is injective. We further assume that all Liouville domains in consideration satisfy:

$$\text{distinct Reeb orbits } x, x' \text{ have different periods, that is, } \textstyle\int_x \alpha \neq \int_{x'} \alpha. \tag{5.7}$$

This is a generic condition which can be achieved by perturbing the contact form in the neighborhood of each Reeb orbit as in Theorem 13 of [4].

Definition 5.1. Let x be a simple Reeb orbit. Then x is called hyperbolic if $d\psi^l_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha} : \xi_{x(0)} \to \xi_{x(0)}$ has no eigenvalues in the unit circle, otherwise it is called non-hyperbolic. If $d\psi^l_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}$ has odd number of eigenvalues belongs to $(-1, 0)$, then x is called a negative hyperbolic Reeb orbit.

Definition 5.2. Let x be a simple orbit that is negative hyperbolic. Its $2k$-fold covers x^{2k} are called bad orbits. An orbit is called good if it is not a bad orbit.

Remark 5.3. There is another characterization of bad orbits appearing in [12] on symplectic field theory, that is, a Reeb orbit x^{2k} is called bad if it is a $2k$-fold covers of some simple orbit x such that $\mu_{CZ}(x^{2k}) - \mu_{CZ}(x)$ is odd.

For any autonomous Hamiltonian $H^\tau|_{[1,\infty)\times\partial M} = h^\tau(R)$ as in (5.1), we have

$$X_{H^\tau} = h^{\tau\prime}(R) \cdot \mathcal{R}_\alpha = (R-1)\mathcal{R}_\alpha \text{ on } [1,\infty) \times \partial M. \tag{5.8}$$

This implies that Reeb orbits on ∂M of period l with $l < \tau$ correspond to non-constant 1-periodic orbits of H^τ under the map $x(t) \mapsto \gamma(t) = (l+1, x(lt))$ belonging to $\{l+1\} \times \partial M$. It should be observed that for 1-periodic orbit $\gamma(t) = (l+1, x(lt))$ in $[1,\infty) \times \partial M$, the action of $\gamma(t)$ has a simple form

$$\mathcal{A}_{H^\tau}(\gamma(t)) = -\int \gamma^*(\widehat{\theta}) + H^\tau(\gamma(t))dt = -Rh^{\tau\prime}(R) + h^{\tau\prime}(R) = -\frac{l^2}{2} - l. \tag{5.9}$$

In particular, the action of $\gamma(t) = (l+1, x(lt))$ is given by the y-intercept of the tangent line to h^τ at $R = l+1$, which is exactly $-l^2/2 - l$ in this case. We set

$$a_l := -\frac{l^2}{2} - l \tag{5.10}$$

in the following discussion.

For the perturbed Hamiltonian H^τ_ϵ, the actions of 1-periodic orbits $\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\check{\gamma}$ of period l are given by

$$A_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\widehat{\gamma}) = A_{H^\tau}(\widehat{\gamma}) + \epsilon h_0(0) = a_l - \epsilon, \tag{5.11}$$

$$A_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\check{\gamma}) = A_{H^\tau}(\check{\gamma}) + \epsilon h_0(c_0) = a_l - \epsilon/2. \tag{5.12}$$

This implies that for sufficiently small choices of $\epsilon > 0$, the values of $A_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\widehat{\gamma})$ and $A_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\check{\gamma})$ differ from that of $A_{H^\tau}(\gamma)$ slightly.



FIGURE 1. Action of 1-periodic orbits of an autonomous function.

5.2. **The action filtration on the S^1-complex $CF^*(M, H^\tau)$.** Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the action spectrum of the Reeb orbits associated to the contact form α defined in (3.5). One can list all the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ in an increasing order $0 = l_0 < l_1 < l_2 < \cdots$, where l_1 corresponds to the minimum period of a Reeb orbit. We choose $\tau_j \notin \mathcal{S}$ such that $\tau_j = \frac{l_j + l_{j+1}}{2}$. There is a natural action filtration on $CF^*(M, H^\tau_\epsilon)$ defined by

$$F^j CF^*(M, H^\tau_\epsilon) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathcal{A}_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\gamma) \geq a_{\tau_j} \\ \gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H^\tau_\epsilon)}} \mathbb{Z}\langle o_\gamma \rangle, \tag{5.13}$$

The following lemma implies that for specific perturbations of H^τ defined using (5.4), the action filtration is preserved by the S^1-structure.

Lemma 5.4. *For a fixed $\tau \notin \mathcal{S}$, there is an ϵ_τ depending on τ such that*

$$\delta_i F^j CF^*(M, H^\tau_{\epsilon_\tau}) \subset F^j CF^*(M, H^\tau_{\epsilon_\tau}) \text{ for all } i, j.$$

Proof. Given a regular solution $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ to (4.6) for any $i \geq 0$ with o_{γ_1} belonging to $F^j CF^*(M, H^\tau_\epsilon)$, the energy of u is given by

$$E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} ||\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}||^2 dsdt = \mathcal{A}_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\gamma_0) - \mathcal{A}_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\gamma_1) + \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} \partial_s H_N^{z_i(s)}(u) dsdt \geq 0, \tag{5.14}$$

where z_i is a flow line between $\theta_{Z_0} \cdot Z_0$ and $\theta_{Z_i} \cdot Z_i$ on S^{2N+1} for some $\theta_{Z_0}, \theta_{Z_i} \in S^1$ and $N \geq i$. The family of Hamiltonians $H_N^{z_i(s)}$ is defined by extending H^τ_ϵ to be

$$H_N^{z_i(s)}(t, u) = \beta(z_i(s)) H^\tau_\epsilon(t - \theta_{z_i(s)}, u), \tag{5.15}$$

where we have used the fact that $\rho(u) = 0$ in (4.2) as $u(s,t)$ lie in the region $[1, \tau+1) \times \partial M$ by the maximal principle. For the specific choice of metric g_N on S^{2N+1} in (4.6), one notices that the family of Hamiltonians $H_N^{z_i(s)}(t, u)$ is equal to the constant family $H^\tau_\epsilon(t - \theta_{Z_0}, u)$ for $s \ll 0$ and the constant family $H^\tau_\epsilon(t - \theta_{Z_i}, u)$ for $s \gg 0$. So the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} \partial_s H_N^{z_i(s)}(u) dsdt$ can be reduced to $\int_{[-R_0, R_0]\times S^1} \partial_s H_N^{z_i(s)}(u) dsdt$ for some $R_0 \gg 0$. For autonomous function H^τ, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} \partial_s H_N^{z_i(s)}(u) dsdt = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} \partial_s(\beta(z_i(s)) H^\tau(u)) dsdt = 0. \tag{5.16}$$



FIGURE 2. The value of $\beta(z_i(s))$ and the support of $\partial_s\beta(z_i(s))H^\tau_\epsilon(t-\theta_{z_i(s)},u)$.

Therefore, one can equivalently compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1}\partial_s\big(\beta(z_i(s))\big(H^\tau_\epsilon(t-\theta_{z_i(s)},u)-H^\tau(u)\big)\big)dsdt \tag{5.17}$$

$$=\int_{[-R_0,R_0]\times S^1}\partial_s\beta(z_i(s))\big(H^\tau_\epsilon(t-\theta_{z_i(s)},u)-H^\tau(u)\big)+\beta(z_i(s))\partial_sH^\tau_\epsilon(t-\theta_{z_i(s)},u)dsdt \tag{5.18}$$

Let I_j be the interval $[s_{2j-1},s_{2j}+\delta_{2j}]$ with $\delta_j>0$ and s_j be the real number such that for any $s\in[s_j,s_j+\delta_j]$, shown in Figure 2, we have that $\partial_s\beta(z_i(s))\neq 0$ and $z_i(s)$ lie in the closure of some S^1-invariant neighbourhood $\overline{V}_Z$ of some critical orbit $S^1\cdot Z$. One notices that the values of

$$H^\tau_\epsilon(t-\theta_{z_i(s)},u)-H^\tau(u) \text{ and } \partial_sH^\tau_\epsilon(t-\theta_{z_i(s)},u)=\partial_tH^\tau_\epsilon(t,u)(-\partial_s\theta_{z_i(s)}) \tag{5.19}$$

are only nonzero if there exists (γ,Z) in $\mathcal{P}(H^\tau_\epsilon)\times\mathrm{Crit}(f_N)$ such that $(u(s_0,t),z_i(s_0))$ belongs to a neighbourhood of the critical orbit $S^1\cdot(\gamma,Z)$ for all s_0 in $I_j=[s_{2j-1},s_{2j}+\delta_{2j}]$. As the number of generators of the Floer cochain group $CF^*(M,H^\tau_\epsilon)$, denoted as M_τ, is finite, there exists at most M_τ intervals of the form I_j such that the integrand in (5.18) is nonzero. Then the first term in (5.18) becomes

$$\int_{[-R_0,R_0]\times S^1}\partial_s\beta(z_i(s))\big(H^\tau_\epsilon(t-\theta_{z_i(s)},u)-H^\tau(u)\big)dsdt \tag{5.20}$$

$$=\int_{\sqcup_{j=1}^k I_j\times S^1}\partial_s\beta(z_i(s))\big(H^\tau_\epsilon(t-\theta_{z_i(s)},u)-H^\tau(u)\big)dsdt\leq\epsilon M_\tau C_1, \tag{5.21}$$

where $C_1:=\sup_{\theta\in S^1}|h_\gamma(t-\theta)-h_\gamma(t)|$ and we have used the fact that $k\leq M_\tau$. The second term in (5.18) is given by

$$\int_{[-R_0,R_0]\times S^1}\beta(z_i(s))\partial_tH^\tau_\epsilon(t,u)(-\partial_s\theta_{z_i(s)})dtds \tag{5.22}$$

$$\leq\sum_{j=1}^k\int_{I_j\times S^1}|\beta(z_i(s))(-\partial_s\theta_{z_i(s)})||\partial_tH^\tau_\epsilon(t,u)|dsdt \tag{5.23}$$

$$\leq\sum_{j=1}^k\epsilon C_2\int_{I_j}\partial_s|\theta_{z_i(s)}|ds\leq\epsilon M_\tau C_2, \tag{5.24}$$

where $C_2:=\sup_{t\in S^1,x\in\overline{N(\gamma)}}\partial_th_\gamma(t,x)$. Combining the estimates in (5.21) and (5.24), one obtains that

$$\mathcal{A}_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\gamma_0)\geq\mathcal{A}_{H^\tau_\epsilon}(\gamma_1)-\epsilon M_\tau C, \tag{5.25}$$

where $C = C_1 + C_2$ is a constant that only depends on the fixed function $h_\gamma(t)$ defined in (5.4). Since the constants M_τ and C are finite, one can choose sufficiently small ϵ_τ depending only on τ such that $\delta_i F^j CF^*(M, H^\tau_{\epsilon_\tau}) \subset F^j CF^*(M, H^\tau_{\epsilon_\tau})$ for all i, j by the discreteness of the action spectrum of $\mathcal{P}(H^\tau_\epsilon)$. □

We have shown that for fixed $\tau \notin \mathcal{S}$, there is a well-defined action filtration (5.13) on $CF^*(M, H^\tau_{\epsilon_\tau})$. For $\tau_+ < \tau_-$, we have $\epsilon_+ > \epsilon_-$. As $h_\gamma(t) \leq 0$ for all γ in (5.4), the two different perturbations $H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}$ and $H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}$ satisfy $H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+} \leq H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}$. The continuation map $\kappa_i \colon CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}) \to CF^{*-2i}(M, H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-})$ can be made to be a map of filtered S^1-complexes by considering the composition

$$CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}) \xrightarrow{\eta} CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}) \xrightarrow{\kappa'} CF^*(M, H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-}), \tag{5.26}$$

where $\eta = (\eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots)$ is the map of S^1-complexes associated to the monotone homotopy $H^\epsilon_{s,t}$ between $H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}$ and $H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}$ and $\kappa' = (\kappa'_0, \kappa'_1, \ldots)$ is the map of S^1-complexes for the monotone homotopy $H_{s,t}$ between $H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-}$ and $H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}$ which satisfies that $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H_{s,t} \leq 0$. The composition $\kappa = (\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \ldots)$ of maps of S^1-complexes with $\kappa_i \colon CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}) \to CF^{*-2i}(M, H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-})$ is defined by

$$\kappa_i = \sum_{n+m=i} \kappa'_n \circ \eta_m \text{ for all } i \geq 0. \tag{5.27}$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\kappa_i \colon CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}) \to CF^{*-2i}(M, H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-})$ be defined as in* (5.27)*. We have that*

$$\kappa_i F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}) \subset F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-}) \text{ for all } i, j.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\kappa_i(\gamma_-, \gamma_+)$ be the moduli space of solutions to (4.13) with Floer data $(H_{N,s,t}, J_{N,s,t})$, where $(H_{N,s,t}, J_{N,s,t})$ is the extension of the motonone homotopy $(H_{s,t}, J_{s,t})$ defined explicitly by

$$H^z_{N,s,t}(u) = \beta(z) H_{s,t-\theta_z}(u), \tag{5.28}$$

where again $\rho(u) = 0$ in (4.2) as solution u to the parametrized continuation equation (4.13) satisfies the maximal principle shown in [26]. For any generator o_{γ_+} in $F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-})$, we have that $\mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}}(\gamma_+) \geq a_{\tau_j}$. The energy of u in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\kappa_i(\gamma_-, \gamma_+)$ is given by

$$E(u) = \mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-}}(\gamma_-) - \mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}}(\gamma_+) + \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} \nabla_{\dot{z}_i(s)} H^{z_i}_{N,s,t}(u) ds dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} \frac{\partial H^{z_i}_{N,s,t}(u)}{\partial s} ds dt \geq 0. \tag{5.29}$$

Since $\partial_s H_{N,s,t} \leq 0$, (5.14) and (5.29) give that

$$\mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-}}(\gamma_-) \geq \mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}}(\gamma_+) - \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1} \nabla_{\dot{z}_i(s)} H^{z_i}_{N,s,t}(u) ds dt \tag{5.30}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-}}(\gamma_-) \geq a_{\tau_j} - \epsilon_- M_{\tau_-} C. \tag{5.31}$$

Since $\epsilon_- M_{\tau_-} C$ was taken sufficiently small as in Lemma 5.4, by discreteness of the action spectrum, we conclude that $\kappa'_i F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-}) \subset F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-})$ for all i, j. The same argument applies to the elements of the moduli space $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\kappa,\epsilon}_i(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ defined by the Floer data $(H^\epsilon_{s,t}, J^\epsilon_{s,t})$, which yields that $\eta_i F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}) \subset F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_-})$ for all i and j. Combining these results, we conclude that

$$\kappa_i F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_+}_{\epsilon_+}) \subset F^j CF^*(M, H^{\tau_-}_{\epsilon_-}) \text{ for all } i, j \tag{5.32}$$

as desired. □

5.3. **A convenient complex to compute $PSH^*(M)$.** For $\tau_i \notin \mathcal{S}$ and $\tau_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$, we choose a cofinal system of Hamiltonians $H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i} := H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_{\tau_i}}$ with the specific choice of ϵ_{τ_i} provided by Lemma 5.4, and define the finitely supported periodic symplectic cohomology to be

$$\varinjlim_i PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}), \tag{5.33}$$

where the direct limit is taken with respect to the continuation maps

$$(\kappa_{i,i+1})_* \colon PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \to PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_{i+1}}_{\epsilon_{i+1}}) \text{ for all } i \geq 0. \tag{5.34}$$

The following Lemma then implies that one can instead use the cofinal system of Hamiltonians $\{H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}\}_{i\geq 0}$ to compute $PSH^*(M)$.

Lemma 5.6. *Given any cofinal system of Hamiltonians $H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}$ with $\tau_i \to \infty$, there is a natural map*

$$\varinjlim_i PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \to PSH^*(M)$$

which induces an isomorphism.

Proof. The natural inclusion $\bigoplus_i PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{H_t \text{ admissible}} PSH^*(M, H_t)$ induces a map of the quotients $i\colon \varinjlim PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \to PSH^*(M)$. i is surjective because for any admissible Hamiltonian H_t there is a τ_i large enough such that $H_t \preceq H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}$, and we obtain a map via continuation

$$PSH^*(M, H_t) \to PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}). \tag{5.35}$$

Similarly, i is an inclusion since for any admissible Hamiltonians H_t and K_t with $H_t \preceq K_t$, there is an autonomous Hamiltonian $H^{\tau_j}_{\epsilon_j}$ such that $H_t \preceq K_t \preceq H^{\tau_j}_{\epsilon_j}$ for some τ_j large enough. The appropriate continuation maps then give rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PSH^*(M, H_t) & \longrightarrow & PSH^*(M, K_t) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_j}_{\epsilon_j}) \end{array}$$

This implies that the natural map $i\colon \varinjlim PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \to PSH^*(M)$ is an isomorphism. □

We will use this formulation of $PSH^*(M)$ in the next section.

6. Localization Theorem $H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u)) \xrightarrow{\sim} PSH^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$

We prove the following main result in this section, which can be seen as the localization theorem for $PSH^*(M)$.

Theorem 6.1. *Given a Liouville domain (M, θ), the natural inclusion of the fixed point set $\iota\colon \widehat{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}\widehat{M}$ induces a map $\iota_*\colon H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u)) \to PSH^*(M)$, which is an isomorphism with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.*

In particular, Theorem 6.1 holds for the large class of Weinstein manifolds, which includes affine varieties.

To prove Theorem 6.1, we first deal with autonomous Hamiltonian H^τ with perturbations H^τ_ϵ as in (5.4). For each $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H^\tau)$ there is an isolated neighbourhood $N(\gamma)$, which only contains two 1-periodic orbits $\widehat{\gamma}, \check{\gamma}$ of H^τ_ϵ defined as in (5.5). One needs to compute the local Floer contributions to the equivariant differential δ^{S^1} between $o_{\widehat{\gamma}}$ and $o_{\check{\gamma}}$, that is $d_0 := \delta^{S^1}|_{\mathbb{Z}\langle o_{\widehat{\gamma}}, o_{\check{\gamma}}\rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u))}$. Following the original approach of Floer and Hofer in [13], we choose a coherent orientation in the proof of Proposition 6.2 below. This means that the trivializations of $o_{\widehat{\gamma}}, o_{\check{\gamma}}$ are fixed for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H^\tau)$ and they are compatible with the gluing operation in the sense of [13, Definition 11]. We denote the preferred generators of $o_{\widehat{\gamma}}$ and $o_{\check{\gamma}}$ by $\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\check{\gamma}$, respectively. The advantage of this approach is that the equivariant differential δ^{S^1} can be expressed explicitly as follow.

Proposition 6.2. *Let γ be a 1-periodic orbit of H^τ and $d_0 := \delta^{S^1}|_{\mathbb{Z}\langle o_{\widehat{\gamma}}, o_{\check{\gamma}}\rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u))}$. If γ corresponds to a k-fold Reeb orbit, then we have*

$$d_0(\check{\gamma}) = 0, \quad d_0(\widehat{\gamma}) = \pm k u \check{\gamma}$$

if the Reeb orbit is good, and

$$d_0(\check{\gamma}) = \pm 2\widehat{\gamma}, \quad d_0(\widehat{\gamma}) = 0$$

if the Reeb orbit is bad.

It is shown in [11, Proposition 2.2] that there are only two solutions to the Floer equation that are asymptotic to 1-periodic orbits $\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\check{\gamma}$ of H^τ_ϵ at $\pm\infty$. We will prove that there are exactly k solutions to the BV equation following [11, Proposition 2.2] with $H^\tau_\epsilon = H^\tau + \epsilon h_\gamma$ replaced by a family of Hamiltonians $H^{\tau,\theta}_\epsilon = H^\tau + \epsilon h^\theta_\gamma$ parametrized by S^1.

Lemma 6.3. *For each 1-periodic orbit $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H^\tau)$ that corresponds to a k-fold Reeb orbit, the zero dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}_\Delta(\check{\gamma}, \widehat{\gamma})$ consists of k points.*

Proof. $\gamma(S^1)$ is an isotropic submanifold in $\widehat{M}$. The isotropic Weinstein Neighbourhood Theorem implies that there is a diffeomorphism

$$\xi\colon N(\gamma) \to S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \text{ such that } \xi^*(\omega_0) = \widehat{\omega} \text{ and } \xi(\widehat{\gamma}) = (kt, 0), \xi(\check{\gamma}) = (kt + c_0, 0). \tag{6.1}$$

Consider the Hamiltonian $K\colon S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $K(q_1, p_1, \cdots) = -kp_1$, the associated Hamiltonian flow is given by $\psi^t_K(q_1, y) = (q_1 - kt, y)$. The Hamiltonian that generates $\psi^t_K \circ \psi^t_{H^\tau_\epsilon}$ is $\tilde{H}_\epsilon(t, x) = H^\tau_\epsilon(t, \psi^{-t}_K(x)) + K(x)$. After composing with the flow ψ^t_K, we have that

$$\psi^t_K \circ \xi(\widehat{\gamma}(t)) = (0, 0), \quad \psi^t_K \circ \xi(\check{\gamma}(t)) = (c_0, 0). \tag{6.2}$$

Now let $\tilde{H}(t, x) = H^\tau(t, \psi^{-t}_K(x)) + K(x)$ be the unperturbed Hamiltonian. We lift loops in $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ to the universal cover $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and consider the operator

$$F\colon W^{1,2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n}), \quad F(x) = -J_0(\dot{x}(t) - X_{\tilde{H}}(x(t)). \tag{6.3}$$

The kernel of F consists of constant solutions of the form $x_a(t) = (ka, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$. The linearized operator $DF_{x_a}\colon W^{1,2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ has the same kernel as F. We denote the kernel of F given by $\{x_a(t) = (ka, 0) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ by N, and its L^2-orthogonal complement by $N^\perp$.

Now let $f_\theta\colon W^{1,2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be defined by

$$f_\theta(x)(t) = \begin{cases} \nabla h_\gamma(x(t)), & \text{if } s \gg 0, \\ \nabla h_\gamma(x(t - \theta)), & \text{if } s \ll 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

Each solution to the BV-equation yields $u : \mathbb{R} \to W^{1,2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ that satisfies

$$u'(s) - F(u(s)) + \epsilon f_\theta(u(s)) = 0 \tag{6.5}$$

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} u(s) = (c_0 + k\theta, 0), \quad \lim_{s \to +\infty} u(s) = (0, 0). \tag{6.6}$$

One can write $u(s) = x_a(s) + y(s)$ with $x_a(s) \in N$ and $y(s) \in N^\perp$. The last estimate in the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [11] then applies with $f := \nabla h$ replaced by f_θ, and we obtain

$$||x'_a(s) - \epsilon f_\theta(x_a(s))||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times S^1)} + (C^2 - \epsilon)||y(s)||^2_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}\times S^1)} \leq 0 \tag{6.7}$$

for some constant C and $\epsilon < C^2$. This implies that for fixed θ, all solutions $u(s) = x_a(s) + y(s)$ to the BV-equation satisfy $y(s) \equiv 0$ and

$$x'_a(s) - \epsilon f_\theta(x_a(s)) = 0, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} x_a(s) = \epsilon_0 + k\theta, \lim_{s \to +\infty} x_a(s) = 0. \tag{6.9}$$

We denote the solution to (6.8) when $\theta_i = i/k$ by $x_{a_i(s)} = (ka_i(s), 0)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$. Thus there are exactly k solutions to the BV-equation which are given by

$$v_i\colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}, i = 0, \ldots, k-1 \tag{6.10}$$

$$v_i(s, t) = \psi^{-t}_K(x_{a_i(s)}) = (ka_i(s) + kt, 0). \tag{6.11}$$

as claimed. □

Next, for $u_i \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{\gamma}, \check{\gamma}), i = 1, 2$ we compute d_{u_i} defined in (3.27). Since we have chosen trivializations of $o_{\widehat{\gamma}}$ and $o_{\check{\gamma}}$, the isomorphism d_{u_i} is given by multiplication by ± 1. It is worthwhile noticing that the computation result for the Floer differential d in Lemma 6.4 below coincides with that of Bourgeios and Oancea [7] in the Morse-Bott homology setting.

The trivialization of the bundle $\gamma^* T\widehat{M} \to S^1$ in (6.1) can be chosen so that the linearized Hamiltonian flow $\Psi(t)$ of the unperturbed Hamiltonian H^τ is a block diagonal matrix. We denote by $R_\theta(t), P_a(t)$ the paths of orthogonal and positive definite matrices

$$R_\theta(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta t) & -\sin(\theta t) \\ \sin(\theta t) & \cos(\theta t) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P_a(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{at} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-at} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.12}$$

If the linearized return map $\Psi(1)$ has eigenvalues $\theta_i, \bar{\theta}_i \in S^1$, $\lambda_i^+, 1/\lambda_i^+ \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\lambda_i^-, 1/\lambda_i^- \in \mathbb{R}^-$, then $\Psi(t)$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A(t) & & & & & & & & & \\ & E_1(t) & & & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & & E_{n_1}(t) & & & & & & \\ & & & & H_1^+(t) & & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & & H_{n_2}^+(t) & & & \\ & & & & & & & H_1^-(t) & & \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & & H_{n_3}^- \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Ct \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; E_i(t) = R_{\theta_i}(t), \; H_i^+(t) = P_{a_i}(t) \tag{6.13}$$

$$\text{for some } C > 0, \quad \theta_i \in (0, 2\pi) \text{ and } a_i = \ln(\lambda_i^+), \tag{6.14}$$

and $H_i^-(t)$ is homotopic with fixed end points to the concatenation of $2k$ paths of symplectic matrices given by

$$R_\pi(t), \;\; P_{\frac{b_i}{k}}(t) R_\pi(1), \;\; R_\pi(t) P_{\frac{b_i}{k}}(1) R_\pi(1), \;\; \ldots, \;\; \underbrace{P_{\frac{b_i}{k}}(t) R_\pi(1) P_{\frac{b_i}{k}}(1) \ldots R_\pi(1)}_{\text{product of 2k matrices}} \text{ with } b_i = \ln(-\lambda_i^-). \tag{6.15}$$

The resulting path, also denoted as $H_i^-(t)$, is piece-wise smooth and continuous when $t = j/2k$ for all $j = 1, 2 \ldots, 2k-1$.

Proposition 2.2 in [11] shows that the two Floer trajectories between $\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\check{\gamma}$ are given by

$$u_i \colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \tag{6.16}$$

$$u_i(s,t) = (k\alpha_i(s) + kt, 0), \tag{6.17}$$

where α_1 and α_2 are Morse flow lines of $h_0 \colon S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ (see Figure 3) such that

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \alpha_i(s) = 0, \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} \alpha_i(s) = c_0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2. \tag{6.18}$$

We denote by $\Psi_\epsilon^i(s,t)$, $\Psi^i(s,t)$ and $\Phi_\epsilon^i(s,t)$ the linearizations of the flows of the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_{H_\epsilon^\tau}, X_{H^\tau}$ and $X_{\epsilon h_\gamma}$ on the image of $u_i(s,t)$ respectively. Simple computations show that

$$\Psi^i(s,t) = \Psi(t + \alpha_i(s)) \text{ and } \Phi_\epsilon^i(s,t) = e^{\epsilon h_0''(\alpha_i(s)) t J_0 B}, \tag{6.19}$$

where $B = \mathrm{Diag}(1, 0, \cdots, 0)$. It is proved in Proposition 2.2 [11] that for ϵ sufficiently small $\Psi_\epsilon^i(s,t)$ and $\Psi^i(s,t) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^i(s,t)$ are homotopic with end points via

$$L(r,s,t) = \Psi_{r\epsilon}^i(s,t) \Phi_{(1-r)\epsilon}^i(s,t) \text{ with } (r,s,t) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \text{ for } i = 0, 1. \tag{6.20}$$

For $\Psi^i_\epsilon(s,t)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, one considers symmetric matrices $S^i(s,t)$ defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Psi^i_\epsilon(s,t) = J_0 S^i(s,t)\Psi^i_\epsilon(s,t). \tag{6.21}$$

Lemma 7.2 in [25] implies that the path of unbounded self-adjoint operators

$$s \mapsto A^i(s) := J_0\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + S^i(s,\cdot) \text{ on } L^2(S^1,\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \tag{6.22}$$

has the same crossings as the symplectic path $s \mapsto \Psi^i_\epsilon(s,1)$ and the crossing forms are isomorphic. A crossing, $s_0 \in [0,1]$ such that $\det(\Psi^i_\epsilon(s_0,1)-\mathbb{I}) = 0$, is called a regular crossing if the crossing form $q(\Psi^i_\epsilon(s,1))\colon \ker(\Psi^i_\epsilon(s_0,1)-\mathbb{I}) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$q(\Psi^i_\epsilon(s,1))v = \omega_0(v, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Psi^i_\epsilon(s,1)\cdot v) = \langle v, S^i(s)v\rangle \tag{6.23}$$

is non-degenerate at $s = s_0$, where $S^i(s) = S^i(s,1)$ is a path of symmetric matrices.

One can obtain the parallel transport map $d_{u_i}\colon o_{\widehat{\gamma}} \to o_{\check{\gamma}}$ by computing the signs of the crossing form at each crossing of $\Psi^i_\epsilon(s,1)$, or equivalently $\Psi^i(s,1)\cdot\Phi^i_\epsilon(s,1)$. A detailed computation of the signs of the crossing form is given in the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *For $u_i \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{\gamma},\check{\gamma}), i = 1,2$, we have $d_{u_1} = -\epsilon(\gamma)d_{u_2}$, where $\epsilon(\gamma)$ is equal 1 if γ is good and -1 if γ is bad.*

Proof of Lemma 6.4. The matrix $\Psi^i(s,1)\cdot\Phi^i_\epsilon(s,1) = \Psi(\alpha_i(s))e^{\epsilon h_0''(\alpha_i(s))J_0 B}$ is again of block diagonal form

$$\mathrm{Diag}(\tilde{A}(\alpha_i(s)), E_1(\alpha_i(s)),\dots,E_{n_1}(\alpha_i(s)), H_1^+(\alpha_i(s)),\dots,H_{n_2}^+(\alpha_i(s)), H_1^-(\alpha_i(s)),\dots,H_{n_3}^-(\alpha_i(s))),$$

where

$$\tilde{A}(\alpha_i(s)) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & C\alpha_i(s)\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \epsilon h''(\alpha_i(s)) & 1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1+C\alpha_i(s)\epsilon h''(\alpha_i(s)) & C\alpha_i(s)\\ \epsilon h''(\alpha_i(s)) & 1\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.24}$$

After reparametrizing $\Psi^i(s,1)\cdot\Phi^i_\epsilon(s,1)$ as in Remark 6.5 below, one obtains loops of symmetric matrices $S^i(s)$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix}
S^A(\alpha_i(s)) & & & & & & & & & & \\
& S_1^E(\alpha_i(s)) & & & & & & & & & \\
& & \ddots & & & & & & & & \\
& & & S_{n_1}^E(\alpha_i(s)) & & & & & & & \\
& & & & S_1^+(\alpha_i(s)) & & & & & & \\
& & & & & \ddots & & & & & \\
& & & & & & S_{n_2}^+(\alpha_i(s)) & & & & \\
& & & & & & & S_1^-(\alpha_i(s)) & & & \\
& & & & & & & & \ddots & & \\
& & & & & & & & & S_{n_3}^-(\alpha_i(s))
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } S^A(\alpha_i(s))) = -J_0\cdot\frac{d}{ds}\tilde{A}(\alpha_i(s))\cdot\tilde{A}(\alpha_i(s))^{-1} \tag{6.25}$$

and the value of $S_i^-(\alpha_2(s))$ when $\alpha_2(s) \in (\frac{j}{k}, \frac{2j+1}{2k})$ and $1 \le j \le k-1$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix}e^{-\frac{2jb_i}{k}}\pi\alpha_2'(s) & 0\\ 0 & e^{\frac{2jb_i}{k}}\pi\alpha_2'(s)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.26}$$

which is negative definite since $\alpha_2'(s) < 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. As $\Psi^i(s,1)\cdot\Phi^i_\epsilon(s,1)$ is in block diagonal form, and generically one can choose the Morse function $h_\gamma\colon N(\gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that all crossings of $\Psi^i(s,1)\cdot\Phi^i_\epsilon(s,1)$ occur in different time. Thus it suffices to analyze the crossings for each block. Given the flow line $\alpha_i(s)$



FIGURE 3. Flow lines α_1, α_2 and the BV solutions from $\check{\gamma}(t+\frac{i}{k})$ and $\hat{\gamma}(t)$. Here $\epsilon_0 = c_0/k$. (*The labels reflect the values on the image* $\gamma(S^1)$).

of the Morse function h_0, there exists $s_0^i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_0''(\alpha_i(s_0^i)) = 0$ so that $\det(\tilde{A}(\alpha_i(s_0^i)) - \mathbb{I}) = 0$. This crossing is transverse and $\ker(\Psi^i(s_0^i, 1) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^i(s_0^i, 1) - \mathbb{I}) = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1\}$ with $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. By (6.25). One computes the $(1,1)$-entry of $S_A(\alpha_i(s))$ and observes that

$$q(\Psi^i(s_0^i, 1) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^i(s_0^i, 1))e_1 = -\epsilon h_0'''(\alpha_i(s)). \tag{6.27}$$

Since $\mathrm{sign}(h_0'''(\alpha_1(s_0^1))) = -\mathrm{sign}(h_0'''(\alpha_2(s_0^2)))$, we have that

$$\mathrm{sign}(q(\Psi^1(s_0^1, 1) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^1(s_0^1, 1))) = -\mathrm{sign}(q(\Psi^2(s_0^2, 1) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^2(s_0^2, 1))) \tag{6.28}$$

for crossings s_0^1 and s_0^2. One notices that s_0^1 is the only crossing of $\Psi^1(s,1) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^1(s,1)$. For $\Psi^2(s,1) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^i(s,1)$, each block matrix $H_i^-(\alpha_2(s))$ has $(k-1)$ more crossings precisely when

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\underbrace{R_\pi(\alpha_2(s))P_{\frac{b_i}{k}}(1)\dots R_\pi(1)}_{\text{product of 2j+1 matrices, } j \geq 1}\Big) = \mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{jb_i}{k}}\cos(\alpha_2(s)) & -e^{\frac{jb_i}{k}}\sin(\alpha_2(s)) \\ e^{-\frac{jb_i}{k}}\sin(\alpha_2(s)) & e^{-\frac{jb_i}{k}}\cos(\alpha_2(s)) \end{pmatrix} = 2. \tag{6.29}$$

For each $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, there is only one $s_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (6.29) is satisfied, that is to say that $\alpha_2(s_j)$ is the only crossing in $(\frac{j}{k}, \frac{j+1}{k})$ for each j. The kernel of $H_i^-(\alpha_2(s_j)) - \mathbb{I}$ is 1-dimensional. Negative definiteness of $S_i^-(\alpha_2(s))$ in (6.26) now implies that $\mathrm{sign}(q(\Psi^2(s_j, 1) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^2(s_j, 1)) < 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. Since there are n_3 block matrices whose eigenvalues are negative in the linearized return map $\Psi(1)$, the total contributions of all the crossings provide us

$$d_{u_1} = (-1) \cdot (-1)^{(k-1)n_3} d_{u_2}. \tag{6.30}$$

One observes that $(-1)^{(k-1)n_3} = -1$ if and only if both $k-1$ and n_3 are odd, which precisely corresponds to the definition of bad Reeb orbits in (5.2). Therefore, we conclude that $d_{u_1} = -\epsilon(\gamma) d_{u_2}$. □

Remark 6.5. To obtain a loop of symmetric matrices in (6.25), one needs to reparametrize the path of symplectic matrices as $\Psi^i(\chi(\alpha_i(s)), 1) \cdot \Phi_\epsilon^i(\chi(\alpha_i(s)), 1)$ where $\chi\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is a non-decreasing such that $\chi'(0) = \chi'(1) = 0$. Also, the block matrix $H_i^-(t)$ in $\Psi(t)$ is only piece-wise smooth. In order to obtain continuous loops S_i^-, we reparametrize $H_i^-(t)$ to be $H_i^-(\chi(t))$ with $\chi'(t) = 0$ when $t = \frac{i}{2k}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2k-1$. However the sign of the crossing form is independent of the parametrization, so we have suppressed the reparametrization χ in the proof above.

It remains to compute Δ_{v_i} associated to each solution v_i of the BV equation in the definition of Δ. We recall that the solutions to the BV equation at $\theta_i = i/k$ for $i = 0 \dots k-1$ are given by

$$v_i \colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \tag{6.31}$$

$$v_i(s,t) = \psi_K^{-t}(x_{a_i(s)}) = (ka_i(s) + kt, 0). \tag{6.32}$$

$$\lim_{s\to-\infty} v_i(s, t + \frac{i}{k}) = \breve{\gamma}(t + \frac{i}{k}),\ \lim_{s\to+\infty} v_i(s,t) = \widehat{\gamma}(t). \tag{6.33}$$

For fixed θ_i the linearization of the equation (3.34) at v_i can be written as

$$D_{v_i} \colon W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}\times S^1, S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}\times S^1, S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}) \tag{6.34}$$

$$D_{v_i}(X) = \partial_s(X) + J_0\partial_t(X) + \breve{S}(t + a_i(s)) \cdot X \tag{6.35}$$

$$\lim_{s\to-\infty} \breve{S}(t + a_i(s)) = \breve{S}(t + \frac{i}{k}),\quad \lim_{s\to+\infty} \breve{S}(t + a_i(s)) = \widehat{S}(t),\quad i = 0, \cdots, k-1, \tag{6.36}$$

where $J_0\breve{S}$ and $J_0\widehat{S}$ in $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ generates $\Psi_{\breve{\gamma}}(t)$ and $\Psi_{\widehat{\gamma}}(t)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ respectively. As defined in section 3.4, each $v_i \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\breve{\gamma}, \widehat{\gamma})$ induces a map $\Delta_{v_i} \colon o_{\widehat{\gamma}} \to o_{\breve{\gamma}}$ under

$$o_{\breve{\gamma}} \otimes |T_{v_i}\mathcal{M}_\Delta(\breve{\gamma}, \widehat{\gamma})| \cong \det(D_{v_i}) \otimes \det(T_{\theta_i}S^1) \otimes o_{\widehat{\gamma}}. \tag{6.37}$$

If we fix the orientation of $T_{\theta_i}S^1$ to be $\mathbb{R}\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\rangle$, then $\ker(D_{v_i})$ is spanned by

$$\epsilon(v_i)(f_i(s), 0) \text{ with } f_i(s) := \frac{\partial}{\partial s}a_i(s) < 0, \tag{6.38}$$

where $\epsilon(v_i)$ is equal to ± 1 if the isomorphism $\Delta_{v_i} \colon o_{\widehat{\gamma}} \to o_{\breve{\gamma}}$ is given by multiplication by ± 1. The value of $\epsilon(v_i)$ is determined by comparing the chosen orientations of two sides of (6.37). The following Lemma [8, Lemma 4.27] is required to compute $\epsilon(v_i)$.

Lemma 6.6. *Given a loop of non-degenerate symmetric matrices $S_1(t)$, we define $S_k(t) = S_1(kt)$ and assume that $S_k(t)$ is also non-degenerate. Consider operators*

$$T := \partial_s + J_0\partial_t + S_k(t + \frac{\beta(s)}{k}), \tag{6.39}$$

with $\beta \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ a smooth function such that $\lim_{s\to-\infty}\beta(s) = 1$, $\lim_{s\to+\infty}\beta(s) = 0$ and $|\beta'(s)| < C$ for some small enough constant C, there are actions on $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_-(S_k)$ or $\mathcal{O}(S_k, S)$ defined by

$$\Psi_\rho^T(D) := T\#_\rho D,\quad \rho \gg 0. \tag{6.40}$$

The induced action of Ψ_ρ^T on $\mathrm{Det}(\mathcal{O})$ is orientation reversing if and only if k is even and the differences of Conley–Zehnder indices $\mu_{CZ}(\Psi_{S_k}) - \mu_{CZ}(\Psi_{S_1})$ is odd.

Let T be the operator defined in (6.39). The i-th iterations of T is defined to be

$$T^i = \underbrace{T\#_\rho \cdots \#_\rho T}_{\text{i}}. \tag{6.41}$$

Taking $S_k(t) = \breve{S}(t)$ in Lemma 6.6, we have

$$T^i = \partial_s + J_0\partial_t + \breve{S}(t + \frac{i}{k}\beta_\rho^i(s)). \tag{6.42}$$

where $\beta_\rho^i(s) \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is another smooth function depending on ρ and i such that

$$\lim_{s\to-\infty}\beta_\rho^i(s) = 1,\ \lim_{s\to+\infty}\beta_\rho^i(s) = 0 \text{ and } |\partial_s\beta_\rho^i(s)| < C. \tag{6.43}$$

Lemma 6.7. *For each $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H^\tau)$ which corresponds to a k-fold Reeb orbit, we have $\Delta_{v_i} = (\epsilon(\gamma))^{i-1}\Delta_{v_1}$ where $\epsilon(\gamma)$ is equal 1 if γ is good, and -1 if γ is bad.*

Proof. For each $(\theta_i, v_i) \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\widehat{\gamma}, \check{\gamma})$, the stabilization of D_{v_i}

$$\overline{D_{v_i}} \colon T_{\theta_i} S^1 \oplus W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}) \to L^p(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}), \tag{6.44}$$

$$\overline{D_{v_i}}(v, X) = v + D_{v_i}(X) \tag{6.45}$$

is a surjective operator. For $\rho \gg 0$, the glued operator $D_i := T^{i-1} \#_\rho \overline{D_{v_1}}$ is also surjective with a uniformly bounded inverse Q_i. It is shown in [6, Corollary 6] or [13, Proposition 9] that restriction of the projection

$$(\mathbb{I} - Q_i \circ D_i)|_{\ker(\overline{D_{v_1}})} \colon \ker(\overline{D_{v_1}}) \to \ker(T^{i-1} \#_\rho \overline{D_{v_1}}) \tag{6.46}$$

is an isomorphism. Lemma 6.6 now implies that this isomorphism is explicitly given by

$$\epsilon(v_1)(f_1(s), 0) \mapsto \epsilon(v_1)(\epsilon(\gamma))^{i-1}(f_i^{\#}(s), 0), \tag{6.47}$$

where $f_i^{\#}(s)$ is the extension by zero of $f_1(s)$ in $\ker(\overline{D_{v_1}})$ as the operator T^{i-1} has trivial kernel. Since the norm $||f_i^{\#} - f_1||_{W^{1,p}} \to 0$ as ρ approaches ∞, we have $f_i^{\#}(s) < 0$ as well. Then it suffices to show that for each i there is a continuous path of surjective operators connecting $\overline{D_{v_i}}$ and $T^{i-1} \#_\rho \overline{D_{v_1}}$ in $\mathcal{O}(\check{S}(t + \frac{i}{k}), \widehat{S}(t))$. We consider the path of stabilized operators

$$\overline{D_i^r} \colon \mathbb{R} \oplus W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}) \to L^p(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}), r \in [0, 1] \tag{6.48}$$

$$\overline{D_i^r}(v, X) = v + \partial_s(X) + J_0 \partial_t(X) + \check{S}(t + h_i^r(s))(X), \tag{6.49}$$

where $h_i^r(s) \colon \mathbb{R} \to S^1$ is a linear homotopy between $a_i(s)$ and $\frac{i}{k}\beta_\rho^i(s) \#_\rho a_1(s)$ with

$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} h_i^r(s) = \frac{i}{k} + \frac{c_0}{k}, \lim_{s \to +\infty} h_i^r(s) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \dots k-1 \text{ and } r \in [0, 1]. \tag{6.50}$$

Let $\ker(\overline{D_i^r})$ be spanned by $(f^i(r, s), 0)$ with $f^i(0, s) = \epsilon(v_i) \cdot f_i(s)$ and $f^i(1, s) = (\epsilon(\gamma))^{i-1}\epsilon(v_1) \cdot f_i^{\#}(s)$. By linearity of $\overline{D_i^r}$, the sign of $f^i(r, s)$ is constant sign for all $r \in [0, 1]$ and for each i, that is

$$\operatorname{sign}(\epsilon(v_i) f_i(s)) = \operatorname{sign}(\epsilon(v_1)(\epsilon(\gamma))^{i-1} f_i^{\#}(s)). \tag{6.51}$$

Together with the fact that $f_i(s) < 0$ and $f_i^{\#}(s) < 0$, we conclude that $\epsilon(v_i) = (\epsilon(\gamma))^{i-1}\epsilon(v_1)$ or $\Delta_{v_i} = (\epsilon(\gamma))^{i-1}\Delta_{v_1}$ for $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$ as claimed. □

Combining Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.7, one can deduce the result of Proposition 6.2.

Proof of Proposition 6.2. Given the result of Lemma 6.4, we compute

$$d(\check{\gamma}) = d_{u_1} \cdot \widehat{\gamma} + d_{u_2} \cdot \widehat{\gamma} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \gamma \text{ corresponds to a good Reeb orbit;} \\ \pm 2\widehat{\gamma}, & \text{if } \gamma \text{ corresponds to a bad Reeb orbit.} \end{cases} \tag{6.52}$$

As k is even when γ corresponds to a bad Reeb orbit, by Lemma 6.7 the BV-operator is given by

$$\Delta \colon CF^*(M, H) \to CF^{*-1}(M, H). \tag{6.53}$$

$$\Delta(\widehat{\gamma}) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \Delta_{v_i} \cdot \check{\gamma} = \begin{cases} \pm k\check{\gamma}, & \text{if } \gamma \text{ corresponds to a good Reeb orbit;} \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma \text{ corresponds to a bad Reeb orbit.} \end{cases} \tag{6.54}$$

Since $d_0 := \delta^{S^1}|_{\mathbb{Z}\langle o_{\widehat{\gamma}}, o_{\check{\gamma}} \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u))}$, we have that $\delta_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ by degree reasons, that is $d_0 = d + u\Delta$. We conclude immediately that $\delta^{S^1}(\check{\gamma}) = 0$ and $\delta^{S^1}(\widehat{\gamma}) = \pm ku\check{\gamma}$ if γ corresponds to a good Reeb orbit, otherwise $\delta^{S^1}(\check{\gamma}) = \pm 2\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\delta^{S^1}(\widehat{\gamma}) = 0$. □

Having computed the equivariant differential δ^{S^1} between $\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\check{\gamma}$, we now present the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Given $0 = l_0 < l_1 < l_2 < \cdots$ with $l_i \in \mathcal{S}$ for $i \geq 1$, we set $\tau_i = \frac{l_i + l_{i+1}}{2}$ and define $PSH^*(M) \cong \varinjlim PSH^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i})$ as in (5.33). By Lemma 5.4, for fixed τ_i there is a filtration induced by (5.13) on $\overrightarrow{PSC^*}(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i})$ given by

$$F^p PSC^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) := F^p CF^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u)) = \Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{\mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}}(\gamma) \geq a_{\tau_p} \\ \gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i})}} \mathbb{Z}\langle o_\gamma \rangle \Big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u)), \tag{6.55}$$

and the associated graded complexes are defined by

$$G^p PSC^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) := F^p PSC^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) / F^{p-1} PSC^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) = \Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{a_{\tau_{p-1}} \leq \mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}}(\gamma) \leq a_{\tau_p} \\ \gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i})}} \mathbb{Z}\langle o_\gamma \rangle \Big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u)). \tag{6.56}$$

The E_0 page of the spectral sequence associated to this filtration is given by

$$E_0^{p,q} = G^p PSC^{p+q}(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}). \tag{6.57}$$

Since we have assumed that all 1-periodic orbits γ have distinct action $\mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}}(\gamma)$ by condition (5.7), the associated graded complexes are given by $G^p PSC^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle o_{\widehat{\gamma}}, o_{\check{\gamma}} \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}((u))$. It suffices to compute δ_i on $o_{\widehat{\gamma}}$ and $o_{\check{\gamma}}$ to obtain the differential

$$d_0 \colon E_0^{p,q} = G^0 PSC^{p+q}(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \to E_0^{p,q+1} = G^0 PSC^{p+q+1}(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}). \tag{6.58}$$

Proposition 6.2 implies that for all $p \neq 0$ and $|\widehat{\gamma}| = |\check{\gamma}| + 1 = q$, we have

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\pm k} E_0^{p,q-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle \check{\gamma} \rangle \xrightarrow{0} E_0^{p,q} \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle \widehat{\gamma} \rangle \xrightarrow{\pm k} E_0^{p,q+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle u\check{\gamma} \rangle \xrightarrow{0} \cdots, \tag{6.59}$$

if γ corresponds to a good Reeb orbit on ∂M, or

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{0} E_0^{p,q-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle \check{\gamma} \rangle \xrightarrow{\pm 2} E_0^{p,q} \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle \widehat{\gamma} \rangle \xrightarrow{0} E_0^{p,q+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle u\check{\gamma} \rangle \xrightarrow{\pm 2} \cdots, \tag{6.60}$$

if γ corresponds to a bad Reeb orbit. When $p = 0$, as all 1-periodic orbits γ with $\mathcal{A}_{H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}}(\gamma) = 0$ are critical points of the Morse function $H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}|_{\mathrm{Int}(M)}$, there is no regular solutions to (4.6) for $i \geq 1$. So $\delta_i = 0$ on $E_0^{0,q}$ for $i \geq 1$ and all q. This implies that $d_0 \colon E_0^{0,q} \to E_0^{0,q+1}$ agrees with the Floer differential $\delta_0 = d$. Also, it is shown in [27, Theorem 7.3] that for C^2-small Morse function $H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}|_{\mathrm{Int}(M)}$ the differential δ_0 agrees with the Morse differential d_M. Together with (6.59) and (6.60), one obtains that the E_1-page of the spectral sequence associated to the corresponding filtration on $PSC^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ is given by

$$E_1^{p.q} = 0, \quad p \geq 1, \tag{6.61}$$

$$E_1^{0.q} = H^q(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u)). \tag{6.62}$$

We conclude that this spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 page and converges to $H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u))$ for each τ_i. Now for $\tau_i < \tau_j$, there is a natural inclusion of filtered cochain complexes by Lemma 5.5

$$\iota_{i,j} \colon PSC^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow PSC^*(M, H^{\tau_j}_{\epsilon_j}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}, \tag{6.63}$$

which induces identity maps between $(E_0^{p,q})^{\tau_i}$ and $(E_0^{p,q})^{\tau_j}$ if $p \leq i$ and zero maps otherwise. As $\tau_i \to \infty$,

$$\{(E_r^{p,q})^{\tau_i}, (d_r)^{\tau_i}\}_{i \geq 0} \tag{6.64}$$

forms a direct limit of spectral sequences with respect to $\iota_{i,j}$. We have shown that $((E_0^{p,q})^{\tau_i}, (d_0)^{\tau_i})$ converges to $H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u))$ for all τ_j, it follows that the direct limit is still $H^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u))$. This finishes proof. □

7. Periodic Symplectic Cohomology $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$

We define periodic symplectic cohomology of a Liouville domain $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ in this section. Given $\tau_i \to \infty$ with $\tau_i \notin \mathcal{S}$, we choose a cofinal system of admissible Hamiltonians $\mathbf{H} = \{H_t^{\tau_i}\}_{i\geq 0}$ of slope τ_i. One considers a formal variable q of degree -1 satisfying $q^2 = 0$ and set

$$CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})[q] := CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i}) \oplus qCF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i}) \text{ for all } i. \tag{7.1}$$

Elements of $CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})[q]$ are of the form $a + bq$ for $a \in CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})$ and $b \in CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})$. The telescope construction of $\{CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})\}_{i\geq 1}$ introduced in [3] is given by in following diagram with appropriate Koszul signs omitted.



where κ_0 is the continuation map associated to the monotone homotopy between $H_t^{\tau_i}$ and $H_t^{\tau_{i+1}}$. Explicitly, this is given by the infinite direct sum

$$\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H}) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})[q] \tag{7.2}$$

with the total differential

$$\widehat{\delta_0}(a + qb) = (-1)^{deg(a)} d(a) + (-1)^{deg(b)}(qd(b) + \kappa_0(b) - b) \tag{7.3}$$

for $a + qb \in \widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$. Similarly given δ_j with $j \geq 1$ in the definition of δ^{S^1}, we can extend it to an operation $\widehat{\delta_i}$ on $\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$ by setting

$$\widehat{\delta_j}(a + qb) = (-1)^{deg(a)} \delta_j(a) + (-1)^{deg(b)}(q\delta_j(b) + \kappa_j(b)), \tag{7.4}$$

where $\kappa_j \colon CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i}) \to CF^{*-2j}(M, H_t^{\tau_{i+1}})$ are defined previously in (4.16). Using the facts

$$\sum_{i+j=k} \delta_i \delta_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i+j=k} \kappa_i \delta_j - \delta_j \kappa_i = 0 \ \text{ for all } k \geq 0, \tag{7.5}$$

it can be shown that $\sum_{i+j=k} \widehat{\delta_i}\widehat{\delta_j} = 0$. Thus we obtain an S^1-complex $(\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H}), \{\widehat{\delta_j}\}_{j\geq 0})$. The periodic symplectic cohomology of the Liouville domain M is then defined to be the homology of the cochain complex

$$\widehat{PSC^*}(M, \mathbf{H}) := \widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H})((u)) \tag{7.6}$$

with differential $\widehat{\delta^{S^1}} = \widehat{\delta_0} + u\widehat{\delta_1} + u^2\widehat{\delta_2} + \cdots$. We denote the resulting cohomology groups by $\widehat{PSH^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$. The notation $\widehat{PSH^*}$ suggests that $\widehat{PSC^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$ is the completed tensor product $\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H})((u))$, which is u-adically complete. In fact, periodic symplectic cohomology is independent of the choice of Hamiltonians $\mathbf{H} = \{H_t^{\tau_i}\}_{i\geq 0}$ in the construction.

Proposition 7.1. *Periodic symplectic cohomology $\widehat{PSH^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$ is independent of the choice of the sequence of admissible Hamiltonians $\mathbf{H} = \{H_t^{\tau_i}\}_{i\geq 0}$ used in the definition.*

Proof. Given another choice of the cofinal system of admissible Hamiltonians $\mathbf{K} = \{K_t^{\sigma_j}\}_{j\geq 0}$, we need to construct a map $f = (f_0, f_1, \ldots)$ of S^1-complexes between $\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$ and $\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{K})$. Let $\mathbf{H} \sqcup \mathbf{K}$ be the union of the confinal systems of Hamiltonians. There is a preorder on $\mathbf{H} \sqcup \mathbf{K}$ by slopes of the Hamiltonians. We call $K_t^{\sigma_{j+m}}$ is the *m-th successor* of $H_t^{\tau_i}$ in $\mathbf{K}$ if $H_t^{\tau_i}$ and $K_t^{\sigma_{j+m}}$ in $\mathbf{H} \sqcup \mathbf{K}$ satisfy

$$H_t^{\tau_i} \preceq H_t^{\tau_{i+1}} \preceq \ldots \preceq H_t^{\tau_{i+n_i}} \preceq K_t^{\sigma_{j+1}} \preceq \ldots \preceq K_t^{\sigma_{j+m}} \preceq \ldots \preceq K_t^{\sigma_{j+m_j}} \preceq H_t^{\tau_{i+n_i+1}} \tag{7.7}$$

for some nonnegative integers n_i and m_j. We will define the map f of S^1-complexes on each summand of $\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$ as follow. For $a_i \in CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})$, the map f_p is given by

$$f_p(a_i) = \eta_p^1(a_i) + \eta_p^2(a_i) + \ldots + \eta_p^{m_j}(a_i), \tag{7.8}$$

where $\eta_p^m \colon CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i}) \to CF^{*-2p}(M, K_t^{\sigma_{j+m}})$ is the p-th order continuation map associated to the monotone homotopy between $H_t^{\tau_i}$ and $K_t^{\sigma_{j+m}}$ for $m = 1, \ldots, m_j$. To determine the value of f_p on the element qb_i of $qCF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})$ with $n_i \geq 1$ and $m < m_j$ in (7.7), we consider the following diagram for each successor $K_t^{\sigma_{j+m}}$ of $H_t^{\tau_i}$ in $\mathbf{K}$



where $h^m := (h_0^m, h_1^m, \ldots)$ is the S^1-equivariant chain homotopy, provided by Lemma 7.2 below, between the maps $\eta^{m+1} \circ \kappa$ and $\kappa' \circ \eta^m$ of S^1-complexes $qCF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})$ and $CF^*(M, K_t^{\sigma_{j+m+1}})$. Depending on the ordering of $H_t^{\tau_i}$ and $K_t^{\sigma_{j+m}}$ in (7.7), we define that

$$f_p(qb_i) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=1}^{m_j} q\eta_p^s(b_i) + \sum_{s=1}^{m_j-1} h_p^s(b_i) & \text{if } n_i \geq 1; \\ \sum_{s=1}^{m_j} q\eta_p^s(b_i) + h_p^{m_j}(b_i) & \text{if } n_i = 0. \end{cases} \tag{7.9}$$

The fact that h^m is a S^1-equivariant chain homotopy between the maps $\eta^{m+1} \circ \kappa$ and $\kappa' \circ \eta^m$ of S^1-complexes $qCF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})$ and $CF^*(M, K_t^{\sigma_{j+m+1}})$ for all $m \leq m_j$ implies that

$$\sum_{k+l=j} (f_k \widehat{\delta}_l + \widehat{\delta}_l f_k)(qb_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i, j. \tag{7.10}$$

The same relations hold for all $a_i \in CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})$. So $f = (f_0, f_1, \ldots)$ defines a map of S^1-complexes $\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$ and $\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{K})$.

There is a natural filtration on $\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H})$ defined by

$$F^k\widehat{CF^*}(M, \mathbf{H}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_i})[q] \oplus CF^*(M, H_t^{\tau_k}). \tag{7.11}$$

The natural inclusion $\iota\colon CF^*(M,H_t^{\tau_k}) \to F^k\widehat{CF^*}(M,\mathbf{H})$ induces a quasi-isomorphism. For $k \leq l$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CF^*(M,H_t^{\tau_k}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & F^k\widehat{CF^*}(M,\mathbf{H}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota} \\ CF^*(M,K_t^{\sigma_l}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & F^l\widehat{CF^*}(M,\mathbf{K}) \end{array}$$

up to an chain homotopy. This implies that $H_*(\widehat{CF^*}(M,\mathbf{H}),\widehat{\delta}_0) \cong \varinjlim HF^*(M,H_t^{\tau_i}) \cong SH^*(M)$ and similarly $H_*(\widehat{CF^*}(M,\mathbf{K}),\widehat{\delta}_0) \cong \varinjlim HF^*(M,K_t^{\sigma_j}) \cong SH^*(M)$. As the restriction $(f_0|_{F^i})_*$ of the induced map $(f_0)_*$ in homology to $F^i\widehat{CF^*}(M,\mathbf{H})$ satisfies that

$$(f_0|_{F^i})_*\colon HF^*(M,H_t^{\tau_i}) \to HF^*(M,K_t^{\sigma_{j+m_j}}) \tag{7.12}$$

and $(f_0|_{F^i})_*$ is compatible with the direct system for all $i \geq 0$, the S^1-equivariant chain map f yields a quasi-isomorphism $(f_0)_* : \widehat{CF^*}(M,\mathbf{H}) \to \widehat{CF^*}(M,\mathbf{K})$. We can then conclude that $\widehat{PSH^*}(M,\mathbf{H}) \cong \widehat{PSH^*}(M,\mathbf{K})$ by appealing to Proposition 2.4. □

Lemma 7.2. *Given admissible Hamiltonians H_t and K_t with $H_t \preceq K_t$, and continuation maps $\kappa = (\kappa_0,\kappa_1,\ldots)$ and $\kappa' = (\kappa_0',\kappa_1',\ldots)$ associated to two different monotone homotopies $(H_{s,t},J_{s,t})$ and $(H'_{s,t},J'_{s,t})$, there exists an S^1-equivariant chain homotopy $h = (h_0,h_1,\cdots)$ with $h_i\colon CF^*(M,H_t) \to CF^{*-2i-1}(M,K_t)$ such that the relation*

$$\kappa_n - \kappa_n' = \sum_{i+j=n} h_i\delta_j + \delta_j h_i \tag{7.13}$$

is satisfied for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Given two monotone homotopies $(H_{s,t},J_{s,t})$ and $(H'_{s,t},J'_{s,t})$ between (K_t,J_0) and (H_t,J_1), we consider a family of admissible pairs $(H^r_{s,t},J^r_{s,t})$ in $\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{J}$ parametrized by $[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$H^r_{s,t} = \begin{cases} H_{s,t} \text{ if } r \in [0,\delta] \\ H'_{s,t} \text{ if } r \in [1-\delta,1], \end{cases} \qquad J^r_{s,t} = \begin{cases} J_{s,t} \text{ if } r \in [0,\delta] \\ J'_{s,t} \text{ if } r \in [1-\delta,1], \end{cases} \tag{7.14}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Using the extension procedure described in (4.12), one obtains the Floer data $(H^r_{N,s,t},J^r_{N,s,t})$ defined on $\widehat{M}\times S^{2N+1}$ for each N. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^h(\gamma_0,\gamma_1) := \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^h(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,H^r_{N,s,t},J^r_{N,s,t},f_N,g_N)$$

be the moduli space of triples (r,u,z) where $r\in[0,1]$ and $u\colon\mathbb{R}\times S^1\to\widehat{M}, z\colon\mathbb{R}\to S^{2N+1}$ are solutions to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s u + J^{r,z(s)}_{N,s,t}(u)(\partial_t u - X_{H^{r,z(s)}_{N,s,t}}(u)) = 0, \\ \dot{z} + \nabla\tilde{f}(z) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{7.15}$$

with asymptotic behaviors

$$\lim_{s\to-\infty}(u(s,\cdot),z(s)) \in S^1\cdot(\gamma_0,Z_0), \quad \lim_{s\to\infty}(u(s,\cdot),z(s)) \in S^1\cdot(\gamma_1,Z_i). \tag{7.16}$$

There is a free S^1-action on the moduli space $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^h(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_i^h(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ the quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^h(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)/S^1$. The linearization of the first equation in (7.15) yields a linear map

$$D\colon\mathbb{R}\oplus T_z(S^1\cdot W^u(Z_i))\oplus W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}\times S^1,u^*(T\widehat{M})) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}\times S^1,u^*(T\widehat{M})) \text{ with } p>2, \tag{7.17}$$

where $W^u(Z_i)$ is the unstable or descending manifold of the critical point Z_i of index $2i$ on $\mathbb{C}P^{2N}$. The Floer data $(H^r_{N,s,t}, J^r_{N,s,t})$ is regular if the linear map (7.17) is surjective. This is equivalent to surjectivity of the linear map

$$T_r[0,1] \oplus T_z(S^1 \cdot W^u(Z_i)) \to \operatorname{coker}(D_u), \tag{7.18}$$

where D_u is the linearized operator associated to an element (r,u,z) in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$. For regular Floer data $(H^r_{N,s,t}, J^r_{N,s,t})$, the dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ is $|\gamma_0| - |\gamma_1| + 2i + 1$. Similar to the case of BV operator, there is an short exact sequence

$$0 \to T_u\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^h_i(x_0,x_1) \to T_r[0,1] \oplus T_z(S^1 \cdot W^u(Z_i)) \oplus \ker(D_u) \to \operatorname{coker}(D_u) \to 0, \tag{7.19}$$

which induces an isomorphism of determinant lines

$$\det(T_u\mathcal{M}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)) \otimes \det(T_\theta S^1) \cong \det(D_u) \otimes \det(T_r[0,1]) \otimes \det(T_\theta S^1) \otimes \det(T_z \cdot W^u(Z_i)). \tag{7.20}$$

There is another isomorphism given by gluing theory described in section 3.2

$$|\det(T_u\mathcal{M}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma_1))| \otimes o_{\gamma_1} \cong o_{\gamma_0}. \tag{7.21}$$

We fix the orientation of $T_r[0,1]$ to be $\mathbb{R}\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial_r}\rangle$ and choose a coherent orientation for each unstable manifold $W^u(Z_i)$ of a critical point Z_i on $\mathbb{C}P^N$. For $|\gamma_0| = |\gamma_1| - 2i - 1$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ is zero-dimensional and $T_u\mathcal{M}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ is canonically trivial. By comparing (7.20) and (7.21), one obtains an isomorphism

$$h_{i,u}\colon o_{\gamma_1} \to o_{\gamma_0} \tag{7.22}$$

for each u in $\mathcal{M}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ with $|\gamma_0| = |\gamma_1| - 2i - 1$. We can then define operations $h_i\colon CF^*(M,H_t) \to CF^{*-2i-1}(M,K_t)$ by

$$h_i|_{o_{\gamma_1}} = \bigoplus_{|\gamma_0|=|\gamma_1|-2i-1} \sum_{u\in\mathcal{M}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)} h_{i,u}. \tag{7.23}$$

After taking $N \to \infty$, this defines the operation h_i for all $i \geq 0$. By applying the maximal principle to the solutions to the parametrized Floer equation (7.15) with admissible choice of $(H^r_{s,t}, J^r_{s,t})$, we obtain a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}^h_n}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ of $\mathcal{M}^h_n(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ given by the usual Gromov compactness Theorem for all $n \geq 0$. To see the relation (7.13), one needs to exam the boundary of the 1-dimensional manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}^h_n}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ with $|\gamma_0| = |\gamma_1| - 2n$ for each n. Let N be an integer such that $N \geq n$. Appealing to the usual gluing argument, we have that the boundary is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}^h_n}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1) &= \mathcal{M}^\kappa_n(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,H_{N,s,t},J_{N,s,t},f_N,g_N) \cup \mathcal{M}^\kappa_n(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,H'_{N,s,t},J'_{N,s,t},f_N,g_N) \\ &\cup \bigcup_{i+j=n}\Big(\bigcup_\gamma \mathcal{M}_i(\gamma_0,\gamma)\times\mathcal{M}^h_j(\gamma,\gamma_1) \cup \bigcup_{\gamma'}\mathcal{M}^h_i(\gamma_0,\gamma')\times\mathcal{M}_j(\gamma',\gamma_1)\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{7.24}$$

where the equality is to be understood with appropriate orientations. Therefore, we can conclude that $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots)$ is an S^1-equivariant chain homotopy that satisfies

$$\kappa_n - \kappa'_n = \sum_{i+j=n} h_i\delta_j + \delta_j h_i \tag{7.25}$$

This completes the proof. □

Given Proposition 7.1, we can promote our notation of periodic symplectic cohomology to $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$. This implies that $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ is an invariant of the completion M up to Liouville isomorphism.

Remark 7.3. Proposition 7.1 shows that the periodic symplectic cohomologies $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ is independent of the choice of admissible Hamiltonians, so in practice it is convenient to define $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ using autonomous Hamiltonians H^{τ_i} with specific perturbations $\mathbf{H} = \{H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}\}$ described in section 5. Namely, we

choose a cofinal system of Hamiltonians $H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}$ and define

$$\widehat{CF^*}(M) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} CF^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i})[q], \tag{7.26}$$

$$\widehat{PSC^*}(M) := \widehat{CF^*}(M)((u)). \tag{7.27}$$

We equip $\widehat{PSC^*}(M)$ with the differential $\widehat{\delta^{S^1}} = \widehat{\delta}_0 + u\widehat{\delta}_1 + u^2\widehat{\delta}_2 + \cdots$. The map $\widehat{\delta}_j$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\delta}_j(a + qb) = (-1)^{deg(a)}\delta_j(a) + (-1)^{deg(b)}(q\delta_j(b) + \kappa_j(b)), \tag{7.28}$$

where $\kappa_j \colon CF^*(M, H^{\tau_i}_{\epsilon_i}) \to CF^{*-2j}(M, H^{\tau_{i+1}}_{\epsilon_{i+1}})$ is now taken to be the action-preserving map κ_j as in (5.26). There is a filtered S^1-structure on $\widehat{CF^*}(M)$, and consequently a filtration on $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ by action. It will be convenient to use this formulation to compute $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ in the next section.

8. Computations of $PSH^*(M)$ and $\widehat{PSH^*}(M)$ when M is the disc D^2 and the annulus A

8.1. **$PSH^*(D^2) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u, u^{-1}]$ for the disk $D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$.** We consider $\mathbb{C}$ with a primitive of $\widehat{\omega} = dx \wedge dy$ given by $\widehat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(xdy - ydx)$. If we denote (r, θ) as the polar coordinates on $\mathbb{C}$, the Liouville vector field is given by $Z = \frac{r}{2}\partial_r$. Since in our convention (3.3) the Liouville vector field is of the form $R\partial_R$ using the cylindrical coordinate on $[1, \infty) \times S^1$, this implies that $r^2 = R$ so that $R\partial_R = \frac{r}{2}\partial_r$. Similarly, the Reeb vector field $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ on the contact manifold $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |z| = 1\}$ is given by $2\partial_\theta$. The periods of Reeb orbits on S^1 are $k\pi$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We choose a cofinal system of Hamiltonians $H^{k\pi+1} \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}, k \geq 0$ defined in section 5 such that $H^{k\pi+1}|_{\mathrm{Int}(M)}$ is a negative C^2 small Morse function and

$$H^{k\pi+1}|_{[1,\infty)\times\partial M} = \begin{cases} \frac{(R-1)^2}{2}, & \text{if } R - 1 \in [0, k\pi + 1]; \\ (k\pi + 1)(R - 1) + C, & \text{if } R - 1 \in [k\pi + 1, \infty). \end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

The contact manifold S^1 consists only good Reeb orbits which are maps $\gamma^i \colon S^1 \to S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ of degree i for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The Reeb orbit γ^i of degree i corresponds to the 1-periodic orbit γ_i when $R - 1 = i\pi$. One can perturb $H^{k\pi+1}$ locally in a neighbourhood of each nonconstant 1-periodic orbit γ_i. Using the explicit perturbation $H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k} := H^{k\pi+1} + \sum_{i\geq 1} \epsilon_{k\pi+1} f_{\gamma_i}$, each γ_i gives rise to two non-degenerate 1-periodic orbits $\widehat{\gamma}_i, \breve{\gamma}_i$ of $H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k}$. Their indices are computed in [23, Section 2.3.2] as

$$|\breve{\gamma}_i| = -2i, \ |\widehat{\gamma}_i| = -2i + 1, i \geq 1. \tag{8.2}$$

Also, the origin $x_0 := (0, 0)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is a critical point of $H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k}$ for all $k \geq 0$. The index of x_0 is the Morse index, that is $|x_0| = 0$. For simplicity, we denote the generator of $CF^*(D^2, H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k})$ of index i by x_i. Then we have

$$CF^*(D^2, H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k}) = \mathbb{Z}\langle x_0, x_{-1}, \ldots x_{-2k+1}, x_{-2k}\rangle. \tag{8.3}$$

Since δ_i preserve the action filtration on $CF^*(D^2, H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k})$ defined in (5.13), we have that $\delta_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. Then Proposition 6.2 implies that for $j = 1, \ldots, k$

$$d(x_{-2j}) = 0, \quad \Delta(x_{-2j+1}) = jx_{-2j}. \tag{8.4}$$

Also, we see that $\Delta(x_{-2j}) = 0$ as Δ preserves the action filtration on $CF^*(M, H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k})$ in (5.13). There is precisely one solution to the Floer equation with asymptotics x_{-2j+1} and x_{-2j+2} at $\pm\infty$ shown in [23, Section 2.3.2]. This implies that $d(x_{-2j+1}) = x_{-2j+2}$. Thus we have

$$PSC^*(D^2, H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}((u))\langle x_0, x_{-1}, \ldots x_{-2k+1}, x_{-2k}\rangle, \tag{8.5}$$

$$\delta^{S^1}(x_{-2j}) = 0, \quad \delta^{S^1}(x_{-2j+1}) = x_{-2j+2} + ujx_{-2j} \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, k. \tag{8.6}$$

The homology of this cochain complex is

$$PSH^*(D^2, H^{k\pi+1}_{\epsilon_k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}((u))\langle [x_{-2k}]\rangle. \tag{8.7}$$

To determine the homomorphism $(\kappa_{k,k+1})_*\colon PSH^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_k}^{k\pi+1}) \to PSH^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{(k+1)\pi+1})$, we consider the continuation map $\kappa_{k,k+1}$ induced by the monotone homotopy between $H_{\epsilon_k}^{k\pi+1}$ and $H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{(k+1)\pi+1}$. By Lemma 5.5, $\kappa_{k,k+1}$ respects action filtration. So $\kappa_{k,k+1} = \kappa_0 + u\kappa_1 + \cdots$ agrees with the usual continuation map $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0' \circ \eta_0$ defined in (5.26). The cochain complex $CF^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{k\pi+1})$ have the same generators as those of $CF^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{k\pi+1})$, which we also denote by x_i for $i = 0, \ldots, -2k$. For 1-periodic orbit $\widehat{\gamma}$ of $H_{\epsilon_k}^{k\pi+1}$ and $H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{k\pi+1}$, there is precisely one regular solution to the continuation equation given by

$$u\colon \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to N(\gamma), u(s,t) \mapsto \widehat{\gamma}(t). \tag{8.8}$$

So the continuation map η_0 is the identity. As the values of the Hamiltonians $H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{k\pi+1}$ and $H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{(k+1)\pi+1}$ agree on $M \cup [1, k\pi+2] \times \partial M$, the continuation map $\kappa_0'\colon CF^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{k\pi+1}) \to CF^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{(k+1)\pi+1})$ is given by the natural inclusion. This implies that $\kappa_{k,k+1} = \kappa_0$ is also the natural inclusion

$$\kappa_{k,k+1}\colon PSC^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_k}^{k\pi+1}) \to PSC^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{(k+1)\pi+1}), u^j x_i \mapsto u^j x_i. \tag{8.9}$$

After passing to homology, we have that

$$(\kappa_{k,k+1})_*([x_{-2k}]) = -(k+1)u[x_{-2k-2}]. \tag{8.10}$$

The direct limit with respect to $(\kappa_{k,k+1})_*\colon PSH^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_k}^{k\pi+1}) \to PSH^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_{k+1}}^{(k+1)\pi+1})$ is explicitly given by

$$\mathbb{Z}((u))\langle [x_0]\rangle \xrightarrow{[x_0]\mapsto -u[x_{-2}]} \mathbb{Z}((u))\langle [x_{-2}]\rangle \xrightarrow{[x_{-2}]\mapsto -2u[x_{-4}]} \mathbb{Z}((u))\langle [x_{-4}]\rangle \xrightarrow{[x_{-4}]\mapsto -3u[x_{-6}]} \cdots. \tag{8.11}$$

Under the homomorphism $\phi_k\colon \mathbb{Z}((u))\langle [x_{-2k}]\rangle \to \mathbb{Q}((u))\langle x_0\rangle, a \mapsto a/(-1)^k k! u^k$, we conclude that

$$PSH^*(D^2) \colon = \varinjlim PSH^*(D^2, H_k) \cong \mathbb{Q}((u))\langle [x_0]\rangle \cong \mathbb{Q}[u, u^{-1}], \tag{8.12}$$

which verifies Theorem 6.1 in the case of $D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$.

8.2. $\widehat{PSH^*}(D^2) \cong 0$ **for the disk** $D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$**.** Let $\{H_{\epsilon_k}^{k\pi+1}\}_{k\geq 0}$ be the cofinal system of Hamiltonian defined in (8.1). We apply the telescope construction to $\{CF^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_k}^{k\pi+1})\}$ and obtain that

$$\widehat{CF^*}(D^2) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} CF^*(D^2, H_{\epsilon_k}^{k\pi_1})[q]. \tag{8.13}$$

As shown in section 7, the sequence of operations $(\widehat{\delta}_0, \widehat{\delta}_1, \ldots)$ gives rise to a S^1-structure on $\widehat{CF^*}(D^2)$. One notices that $H_*(\widehat{CF^*}, \widehat{\delta}_0) = SH^*(D^2)$. By Corollary 2.5, we conclude that $\widehat{PSH^*}(D^2) = 0$ as $SH^*(D^2) = 0$.

8.3. $PSH^*(A)$ **for the annulus** A**.** Let $A \cong [-1,1] \times S^1$ be the annulus with coordinate (r, θ) in $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \cong T^*S^1$. There is a bihomolomorphism $\iota\colon T^*S^1 \to \mathbb{C}^*, (r,\theta) \mapsto e^{r+i\theta}$ which embeds T^*S^1 into $\mathbb{C}$. We equip A with the Liouville structure induced by $\iota^*\widehat{\theta}$, where $\widehat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(xdy - ydx)$ on $\mathbb{C}$. We consider a cofinal system of autonomous Hamiltonians $H^{i\pi+1}\colon T^*S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ with $i \geq 0$ on each cylindrical end $[1,\infty) \times S^1$ defined by

$$H^{i\pi+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{(R-1)^2}{2}, & \text{if } R-1 \in [0, i\pi+1]; \\ (i\pi+1)(R-1) + C, & \text{if } R-1 \in [i\pi+1, \infty). \end{cases} \tag{8.14}$$

and $H^{i\pi+1}|_{\mathrm{Int}(A)}$ is a negative C^2-small Morse function, which has a minimum at $(0,0)$ and a maximum at $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ in $A = [-1,1] \times S^1$. We denote by $\widehat{\gamma}_0, \check{\gamma}_0$ the minimum and the maximum of $H^{i\pi+1}|_{\mathrm{Int}(\iota(A))}$, respectively. For each $H^{i\pi+1}$, there are $2i$ nonconstant 1-periodic orbits denoted by γ_k and γ_{-k} with $k = 1, \ldots, i$, where γ_k and γ_{-k} correspond to Reeb orbits of multiplicity k on the two components of $\partial A = S^1 \sqcup S^1$ with opposite orientations. After perturbing $H^{i\pi+1}$ to $H_{\epsilon_i}^{i\pi+1}$ as before, we obtain that two non-degenerate 1-periodic orbits $\widehat{\gamma}_k, \check{\gamma}_k$ for each γ_k with indices

$$|\check{\gamma}_k| = 0 \text{ and } |\widehat{\gamma}_k| = 1 \text{ for } k = -i, \ldots, i. \tag{8.15}$$

with respect to the trivialization of TT^*S^1 given by $\iota^*\alpha$, where $\alpha = \frac{dz}{z}$ is the standard holomorphic form defined on $\mathbb{C}^*$. The Floer cohain complex of $H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}$ is given by

$$CF^*(A, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}) = \mathbb{Z}\langle \widehat{\gamma}_{-i}, \check{\gamma}_{-i}, \widehat{\gamma}_{-i+1}, \check{\gamma}_{-i+1} \dots, \widehat{\gamma}_{i-1}, \check{\gamma}_{i-1}, \widehat{\gamma}_i, \check{\gamma}_i\rangle. \tag{8.16}$$

For $j, k \neq 0$, the generators $\check{\gamma}_j$ and $\widehat{\gamma}_k$ are in different free homotopy class if $j \neq k$. So we have that $d(\check{\gamma}_k) = 0$ if $j \neq k$. Together with that fact $d(\check{\gamma}_k) = 0 \cdot \widehat{\gamma}_k = 0$ by Proposition 6.2, one obtains $\delta^{S^1}(\check{\gamma}_k) = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$. Proposition 6.2 also implies that $\delta^{S^1}(\widehat{\gamma}_k) = 0 + ku\check{\gamma}_k = ku\check{\gamma}_k$ for all $k \neq 0$ as γ_k corresponds to a good orbit of multiplicity k on $\partial A = S^1 \sqcup S^1$. For $k = 0$, we have $\delta^{S^1}(\widehat{\gamma}_0) = 0$ by degree reasons, and $\delta^{S^1}(\check{\gamma}_0) = 0$ since the Floer differential d agrees with the Morse differential between $\widehat{\gamma}_0$ and $\check{\gamma}_0$ and there is no regular solution to the BV operator in this case. This implies that the homology of $(PSC^*(A, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}), \delta^{S^1})$ is given by

$$PSH^{\text{even}}(M, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}) \cong \bigoplus_{k\in[-i,i]\cap\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}((u)) \text{ and } PSH^{\text{odd}}(M, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}) \cong \mathbb{Z}((u)), \tag{8.17}$$

$$PSH^*(M, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}) = \mathbb{Z}((u)) \oplus \bigoplus_{k\in[-i,i]\cap\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}((u)), \tag{8.18}$$

For $H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i} \preceq H^{(i+1)\pi+1}_{\epsilon_{i+1}}$, higher order continuation maps κ_i vanish for all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 5.5. Similar to the case of D^2 in $\mathbb{C}$, the continuation map $\kappa_{i,i+1}\colon PSC^*(A, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}) \to PSC^*(A, H^{(i+1)\pi+1}_{\epsilon_{i+1}})$ is induced by the natural inclusion of $CF^*(M, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i})$ into $CF^*(M, H^{(i+1)\pi+1}_{\epsilon_{i+1}})$ given by κ_0. With respect to

$$(\kappa_{i,i+1})_*\colon PSH^*(A, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}) \to PSH^*(A, H^{(i+1)\pi+1}_{\epsilon_{i+1}}), \tag{8.19}$$

we conclude that

$$PSH^*(A) = \varinjlim PSH^*(A, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}) = \mathbb{Z}((u)) \oplus \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}((u)). \tag{8.20}$$

This confirms that $PSH^*(A, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u)) \cong H^*(S^1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}((u))$.

8.4. $\widehat{PSH^*}(A)$ **for the annulus** A**.** Let $H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i}$ be the sequence of admissible Hamiltonian defined in (8.14). The telescope construction of $\{CF^*(A, H^{i\pi+1}_{\epsilon_i})\}_{i\geq 0}$ is given by



By degree reasons, $\delta_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\kappa_j = 0$ for $j \geq 1$ in the definition of $\widehat{\delta^{S^1}}$. This implies that

$$\widehat{\delta^{S^1}}(\widehat{\gamma}_0) = \widehat{\delta^{S^1}}(\check{\gamma}_0) = 0, \quad \widehat{\delta^{S^1}}(q\widehat{\gamma}_0) = -(\kappa_0(\widehat{\gamma}_0) - \widehat{\gamma}_0), \quad \widehat{\delta^{S^1}}(q\check{\gamma}_0) = \kappa_0(\check{\gamma}_0) - \check{\gamma}_0, \tag{8.21}$$

$$\widehat{\delta^{S^1}}(\check{\gamma}_k) = 0, \quad \widehat{\delta^{S^1}}(q\check{\gamma}_k) = \kappa_0(\check{\gamma}_k) - \check{\gamma}_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{8.22}$$

$$\widehat{\delta^{S^1}}(\widehat{\gamma}_k) = ku\check{\gamma}_k, \quad \widehat{\delta^{S^1}}(q\widehat{\gamma}_k) = -(qku\check{\gamma}_k + \kappa_0(\widehat{\gamma}_k) - \widehat{\gamma}_k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{8.23}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\widehat{PSH^*}(A) = \big(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\big)((u)). \tag{8.24}$$

References

[1] A. Abbondandolo and M. Schwarz, *On the Floer homology of cotangent bundles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (2006), no. 2, 254–316, DOI 10.1002/cpa.20090. MR2190223 (2006m:53137).

[2] M. Abouzaid, *Symplectic cohomology and Viterbo's Theorem*, preprint, arXiv:1312.3354.

[3] M. Abouzaid and P. Seidel, *An open string analogue of Viterbo functoriality*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no.2, 627–718, DOI 10.2140/gt.2010.14.627. MR2602848 (2011g:53190).

[4] P. Albers, B. Bramham and C. Wendl, *On non-separating contact hypersurfaces in Symplectic* 4*-manifolds*, Algebraic and geometric topology, **10** (2010), no.2, 697–737, DOI 10.2140/agt.2010.10.697.

[5] P. Ablers, K. Cieliebak and U. Frauenfelder, *Symplectic Tate homology*, preprint, arXiv:1405.2303.

[6] F. Bourgeois and K. Mohnke, *Coherent orientations in symplectic field theory*, Math. Z. **248** (2004), 123–146, DOI 10.1007/s00209-004-0656-x. MR2092725 (2005g:53173).

[7] F. Bourgeois and A. Oancea, *S^1-equivariant symplectic homology and linearized contact homology*, preprint, arXiv:1212.3731.

[8] F. Bourgeois and A. Oancea, *Symplectic homology, autonomous Hamiltonians, and Morse-Bott moduli spaces*, Duke Math. J. **146** (2009), no. 1, 71–174. MR2475400 (2010e:53147).

[9] M. Chas and D. Sullivan, *String topology*, preprint, arXiv:math/9911159.

[10] K. Cieliebak, A. Floer and H. Hofer, *Symplectic homology. II. A general construction*, Math. Z. **218**(1995), no. 1, 103–122, DOI 10.1007/BF02571891. MR1312580 (95m:58055).

[11] K. Cieliebak, A. Floer, H. Hofer and K. Wysocki, *Applications of symplectic homology II: Stability of the action spectrum*, Math. Z. **223** (1996), no. 1, 27–45. MR1408861 (97j:58045)

[12] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental and H. Hofer, *Introduction to symplectic field theory*, GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999). Geom. Funct. Anal. 2000, Special Volume, Part II, 560–673. MR1826267 (2002e:53136).

[13] A. Floer and H. Hofer, *Coherent orientations for periodic orbit problems in symplectic geometry*, Math.Z. **212** (1993), no. 1, 13–38, DOI 10.1007/BF02571639. MR1200162 (94m:58036).

[14] ———, *Symplectic Homology I: Open sets in $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Math. Z. **215** (1994), 37–88, DOI 10.1007/BF02571699. MR1254813 (95b:58059).

[15] A. Floer, H. Hofer, and K. Wysocki, *Applications of symplectic homology I*, Math. Z. **217** (1994), no. 4, 577–606. MR1306027 (95h:58051).

[16] T. Goodwillie, *Cyclic homology, Derivations, and the free loop space*, Topology Vol.24, No.2, pp. 187–215, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(85)90055-2. MR793184 (87c:18009).

[17] M. Hutchings, *Floer homology of families I*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **8** (2008), no. 1, 435–492. MR2443235 (2009h:57046).

[18] J. Jones, *Cyclic homology and equivariant homology*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 2, 403–423. MR0870737 (88f:18016).

[19] J. Jones and S. Petrack, *The fixed point theorem in equivariant cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **322** (1990), no. 1, 35–49. MR1010411 (91b:58002)

[20] C. Kassel, *Cyclic homology, comodules, and mixed complexes*, J. Algebra **107** (1987), no. 1, 195–216. MR0883882 (88k:18019).

[21] J.-L. Loday, *Free loop space and homology*, preprint, arXiv:1110.0405.

[22] ———, *Cyclic homology,* volume 301 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wis-senschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998. Appendix E by María O. Ronco, Chapter 13 by the author in collaboration with Teimuraz Pirashvili.

[23] M. Mclean, The symplectic topology of Stein manifolds, Ph.D Thesis.

[24] A. Oancea, *A survey of Floer homology for manifolds with contact type boundary or Symplectic homology*, Ensaios Mat., vol. 7, Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 2004, pp. 51–91. MR2100955 (2005i:53116).

[25] J. Robbin and D. Salamon, *The Spectral flow and the Maslov index*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **27** (1995), no. 1, 1–33, DOI 10.1112/blms/27.1.1. MR1331677 (96d:58021).

[26] P. Seidel, *A biased view of symplectic cohomology*, Current developments in mathematics, 2006, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2008, pp. 211–253. MR2459307 (2010k:53153).

[27] D. Salamon and E. Zehnder, *Morse theory for periodic solutions of hamiltonian systems and the Maslov index*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), no. 10, 1303–1360. MR1181727 (93g:58028).

[28] C. Viterbo, *Functors and computations in Floer homology with applications. I*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 5, 985–1033, DOI 10.1007/s000390050106. MR1726235 (2000j:53115).

[29] ———, *Functors and computations in Floer cohomology with applications. II*, Pré publication Orsay **98-15** (1998), available at http://www.math.polytechnique.fr/cmat/viterbo/FCFH.II.2003.pdf.

[30] C. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in advanced mathematics 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027, USA.

E-mail address: jzhao@math.columbia.edu

URL: http://math.columbia.edu/~jzhao/